

Veriopt Theories

April 17, 2024

Contents

1 Canonicalization Optimizations	1
1.1 AbsNode Phase	3
1.2 AddNode Phase	8
1.3 AndNode Phase	12
1.4 BinaryNode Phase	17
1.5 ConditionalNode Phase	18
1.6 MulNode Phase	27
1.7 Experimental AndNode Phase	38
1.8 NotNode Phase	48
1.9 OrNode Phase	49
1.10 ShiftNode Phase	53
1.11 SignedDivNode Phase	54
1.12 SignedRemNode Phase	55
1.13 SubNode Phase	55
1.14 XorNode Phase	61
1.15 NegateNode Phase	64
1.16 AddNode	66
1.17 NegateNode	67

1 Canonicalization Optimizations

```
theory Common
imports
  OptimizationDSL.Canonicalization
  Semantics.IRTreeEvalThms
begin

lemma size-pos[size-simps]:  $0 < \text{size } y$ 
  apply (induction y; auto?)
  subgoal for op
    apply (cases op)
    by (smt (z3) gr0I one-neq-zero pos2 size.elims trans-less-add2)+
```

done

lemma *size-non-add*[*size-simps*]: $\text{size}(\text{BinaryExpr } op\ a\ b) = \text{size } a + \text{size } b + 2$
 $\longleftrightarrow \neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } b)$
by (*induction* *b*; *induction* *op*; *auto simp*: *is-ConstantExpr-def*)

lemma *size-non-const*[*size-simps*]:
 $\neg \text{is-ConstantExpr } y \implies 1 < \text{size } y$
using *size-pos apply* (*induction* *y*; *auto*)
by (*metis Suc-lessI add-is-1 is-ConstantExpr-def le-less linorder-not-le n-not-Suc-n numeral-2-eq-2 pos2 size.simps(2) size-non-add*)

lemma *size-binary-const*[*size-simps*]:
 $\text{size}(\text{BinaryExpr } op\ a\ b) = \text{size } a + 2 \longleftrightarrow (\text{is-ConstantExpr } b)$
by (*induction* *b*; *auto simp*: *is-ConstantExpr-def size-pos*)

lemma *size-flip-binary*[*size-simps*]:
 $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y) \longrightarrow \text{size}(\text{BinaryExpr } op(\text{ConstantExpr } x)\ y) > \text{size}(\text{BinaryExpr } op\ y\ (\text{ConstantExpr } x))$
by (*metis add-Suc not-less-eq order-less-asym plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(2,11) size-non-add*)

lemma *size-binary-lhs-a*[*size-simps*]:
 $\text{size}(\text{BinaryExpr } op(\text{BinaryExpr } op'\ a\ b)\ c) > \text{size } a$
by (*metis add-lessD1 less-add-same-cancel1 pos2 size-binary-const size-non-add*)

lemma *size-binary-lhs-b*[*size-simps*]:
 $\text{size}(\text{BinaryExpr } op(\text{BinaryExpr } op'\ a\ b)\ c) > \text{size } b$
by (*metis IRExpr.disc(42) One-nat-def add.left-commute add.right-neutral is-ConstantExpr-def less-add-Suc2 numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-binary-const size-non-add size-non-const trans-less-add1*)

lemma *size-binary-lhs-c*[*size-simps*]:
 $\text{size}(\text{BinaryExpr } op(\text{BinaryExpr } op'\ a\ b)\ c) > \text{size } c$
by (*metis IRExpr.disc(42) add.left-commute add.right-neutral is-ConstantExpr-def less-Suc-eq numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-non-add size-non-const trans-less-add2*)

lemma *size-binary-rhs-a*[*size-simps*]:
 $\text{size}(\text{BinaryExpr } op\ c\ (\text{BinaryExpr } op'\ a\ b)) > \text{size } a$
apply *auto*
by (*metis trans-less-add2 less-Suc-eq less-add-same-cancel1 linorder-neqE-nat not-add-less1 pos2 order-less-trans size-binary-const size-non-add*)

lemma *size-binary-rhs-b*[*size-simps*]:
 $\text{size}(\text{BinaryExpr } op\ c\ (\text{BinaryExpr } op'\ a\ b)) > \text{size } b$
by (*metis add.left-commute add.right-neutral is-ConstantExpr-def lessI numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(4,11) size-non-add trans-less-add2*)

```

lemma size-binary-rhs-c[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op c (BinaryExpr op' a b)) > size c
  by simp

lemma size-binary-lhs[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) > size x
  by (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc-right less-add-Suc1 numeral-2-eq-2
size-binary-const size-non-add)

lemma size-binary-rhs[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) > size y
  by (metis IRExpr.disc(42) add-strict-increasing is-ConstantExpr-def linorder-not-le
not-add-less1 size.simps(11) size-non-add size-non-const size-pos)

lemmas arith[size-simps] = Suc-leI add-strict-increasing order-less-trans trans-less-add2

definition well-formed-equal :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (infix  $\approx$  50) where
    well-formed-equal  $v_1 \approx v_2$  =  $(v_1 \neq \text{UndefVal} \longrightarrow v_1 = v_2)$ 

lemma well-formed-equal-defn [simp]:
  well-formed-equal  $v_1 \approx v_2$  =  $(v_1 \neq \text{UndefVal} \longrightarrow v_1 = v_2)$ 
  unfolding well-formed-equal-def by simp

end

```

1.1 AbsNode Phase

```

theory AbsPhase
  imports
    Common Proofs.StampEvalThms
  begin

  phase AbsNode
    terminating size
  begin

```

Note:

We can't use $(<s)$ for reasoning about *intval-less-than*. $(<s)$ will always treat the 64th bit as the sign flag while *intval-less-than* uses the b^{th} bit depending on the size of the word.

```

value val[new-int 32 0 < new-int 32 4294967286] — 0 < -10 = False
value (0::int64) <s 4294967286 — 0 < 4294967286 = True

```

```

lemma signed-equiv:
  assumes  $b > 0 \wedge b \leq 64$ 

```

```

shows val-to-bool (val[new-int b v < new-int b v']) = (int-signed-value b v <
int-signed-value b v')
using assms
by (metis (no-types, lifting) ValueThms.signed-take-bit bool-to-val.elims bool-to-val-bin.elims
int-signed-value.simps intval-less-than.simps(1) new-int.simps one-neq-zero val-to-bool.simps(1))

lemma val-abs-pos:
assumes val-to-bool(val[(new-int b 0) < (new-int b v)])
shows intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v)
using assms by force

lemma val-abs-neg:
assumes val-to-bool(val[(new-int b v) < (new-int b 0)])
shows intval-abs (new-int b v) = intval-negate (new-int b v)
using assms by force

lemma val-bool-unwrap:
val-to-bool (bool-to-val v) = v
by (metis bool-to-val.elims one-neq-zero val-to-bool.simps(1))

lemma take-bit-64:
assumes 0 < b ∧ b ≤ 64
assumes take-bit b v = v
shows take-bit 64 v = take-bit b v
using assms
by (metis min-def nle-le take-bit-take-bit)

```

A special value exists for the maximum negative integer as its negation is itself. We can define the value as *set-bit* (($b::nat$) – ($1::nat$)) ($0::64$ word) for any bit-width, b.

```

value (set-bit 1 0)::2 word — 2
value -(set-bit 1 0)::2 word — 2
value (set-bit 31 0)::32 word — 2147483648
value -(set-bit 31 0)::32 word — 2147483648

```

```

lemma negative-def:
fixes v :: 'a::len word
assumes v < s 0
shows bit v (LENGTH('a) – 1)
using assms
by (simp add: bit-last-iff word-sless-alt)

```

```

lemma positive-def:
fixes v :: 'a::len word
assumes 0 < s v
shows ¬(bit v (LENGTH('a) – 1))
using assms

```

```
by (simp add: bit-last-iff word-sless-alt)
```

```

lemma negative-lower-bound:
  fixes v :: 'a::len word
  assumes (2^(LENGTH('a) - 1)) <s v
  assumes v <s 0
  shows 0 <s (-v)
  using assms
  by (smt (verit) signed-0 signed-take-bit-int-less-self-iff sint-ge sint-word-ariths(4)
word-sless-alt)

lemma min-int:
  fixes x :: 'a::len word
  assumes x <s 0
  assumes x ≠ (2^(LENGTH('a) - 1))
  shows 2^(LENGTH('a) - 1) <s x
  using assms sorry

lemma negate-min-int:
  fixes v :: 'a::len word
  assumes v = (2^(LENGTH('a) - 1))
  shows v = (-v)
  using assms
  by (metis One-nat-def add.inverse-neutral double-eq-zero-iff mult-minus-right verit-minus-simplify(4))

fun abs :: 'a::len word ⇒ 'a word where
  abs x = (if x <s 0 then (-x) else x)

lemma
  abs(abs(x)) = abs(x)
  for x :: 'a::len word
  proof (cases 0 ≤s x)
    case True
    then show ?thesis
      by force
  next
    case neg: False
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases x = (2^(LENGTH('a) - 1)))
      case True
      then show ?thesis
        using negate-min-int
        by (simp add: word-sless-alt)
  next
    case False

```

```

then show ?thesis using min-int negative-lower-bound
    using negate-min-int by force
qed
qed

```

We need to do the same proof at the value level.

```

lemma invert-intval:
assumes int-signed-value b v < 0
assumes b > 0  $\wedge$  b  $\leq$  64
assumes take-bit b v = v
assumes v  $\neq$  (2 $^{\lceil}(b - 1)$ )
shows 0 < int-signed-value b (-v)
using assms apply simp sorry

lemma negate-max-negative:
assumes b > 0  $\wedge$  b  $\leq$  64
assumes take-bit b v = v
assumes v = (2 $^{\lceil}(b - 1)$ )
shows new-int b v = intval-negate (new-int b v)
using assms apply simp using negate-min-int sorry

lemma val-abs-always-pos:
assumes b > 0  $\wedge$  b  $\leq$  64
assumes take-bit b v = v
assumes v  $\neq$  (2 $^{\lceil}(b - 1)$ )
assumes intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v')
shows val-to-bool (val[(new-int b 0) < (new-int b v')])  $\vee$  val-to-bool (val[(new-int b 0) eq (new-int b v')])
proof (cases v = 0)
case True
then have isZero: intval-abs (new-int b 0) = new-int b 0
    by auto
then have IntVal b 0 = new-int b v'
    using True assms by auto
then have val-to-bool (val[(new-int b 0) eq (new-int b v')])
    by simp
then show ?thesis by simp
next
case neq0: False
have zero: int-signed-value b 0 = 0
    by simp
then show ?thesis
proof (cases int-signed-value b v > 0)
case True
then have val-to-bool(val[(new-int b 0) < (new-int b v)])
    using zero apply simp
by (metis One-nat-def ValueThms.signed-take-take-bit assms(1) val-bool-unwrap)
then have val-to-bool (val[new-int b 0 < new-int b v'])
    by (metis assms(4) val-abs-pos)

```

```

then show ?thesis
  by blast
next
  case neg: False
  then have val-to-bool (val[new-int b 0 < new-int b v'])
  proof -
    have int-signed-value b v ≤ 0
    using assms neg neq0 by simp
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases int-signed-value b v = 0)
      case True
      then have v = 0
      by (metis One-nat-def Suc-pred assms(1) assms(2) dual-order.refl int-signed-value.simps
signed-eq-0-iff take-bit-of-0 take-bit-signed-take-bit)
      then show ?thesis
      using neq0 by simp
    next
      case False
      then have int-signed-value b v < 0
      using ‹int-signed-value (b::nat) (v::64 word) ⊑ (0::int)› by linarith
      then have new-int b v' = new-int b (−v)
      using assms using intval-abs.elims
      by simp
      then have 0 < int-signed-value b (−v)
      using assms(3) invert-intval
      using ‹int-signed-value (b::nat) (v::64 word) < (0::int)› assms(1) assms(2)
    by blast
    then show ?thesis
    using ‹new-int (b::nat) (v'::64 word) = new-int b (− (v::64 word))›
assms(1) signed-equiv zero by presburger
    qed
  qed
  then show ?thesis
  by simp
qed
qed

lemma intval-abs-elims:
  assumes intval-abs x ≠ UndefVal
  shows ∃ t v . x = IntVal t v ∧
    intval-abs x = new-int t (if int-signed-value t v < 0 then − v else v)
  by (meson intval-abs.elims assms)

lemma wf-abs-new-int:
  assumes intval-abs (IntVal t v) ≠ UndefVal
  shows intval-abs (IntVal t v) = new-int t v ∨ intval-abs (IntVal t v) = new-int
t (−v)
  by simp

```

```

lemma mono-undef-abs:
  assumes intval-abs (intval-abs x) ≠ UndefVal
  shows intval-abs x ≠ UndefVal
  using assms by force

lemma val-abs-idem:
  assumes valid-value x (IntegerStamp b l h)
  assumes val[abs(abs(x))] ≠ UndefVal
  shows val[abs(abs(x))] = val[abs x]
proof –
  obtain b v where in-def: x = IntVal b v
  using assms intval-abs-elims mono-undef-abs by blast
  then have bInRange: b > 0 ∧ b ≤ 64
  using assms(1)
  by (metis valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1))
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases int-signed-value b v < 0)
    case neg: True
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases v = (2^(b - 1)))
      case min: True
      then show ?thesis
      by (smt (z3) assms(1) bInRange in-def intval-abs.simps(1) intval-negate.simps(1)
           negate-max-negative new-int.simps valid-value.simps(1))
    next
      case notMin: False
      then have nested: (intval-abs x) = new-int b (-v)
      using neg val-abs-neg in-def by simp
      also have int-signed-value b (-v) > 0
      using neg notMin invert-intval bInRange
      by (metis assms(1) in-def valid-value.simps(1))
      then have (intval-abs (new-int b (-v))) = new-int b (-v)
      by (smt (verit, best) ValueThms.signed-take-take-bit bInRange int-signed-value.simps
           intval-abs.simps(1) new-int.simps new-int-unused-bits-zero)
      then show ?thesis
      using nested by presburger
    qed
  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) assms(1) in-def intval-abs.simps(1) new-int.simps
         valid-value.simps(1))
  qed
qed

Optimisations end

end

```

1.2 AddNode Phase

```

theory AddPhase
imports
  Common
begin

phase AddNode
  terminating size
begin

lemma binadd-commute:
  assumes bin-eval BinAdd x y ≠ UndefVal
  shows bin-eval BinAdd x y = bin-eval BinAdd y x
  by (simp add: intval-add-sym)

optimization AddShiftConstantRight: ((const v) + y) ↦ y + (const v) when
  ¬(is-ConstantExpr y)
  apply (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-Suc-eq plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-non-add)
  using le-expr-def binadd-commute by blast

optimization AddShiftConstantRight2: ((const v) + y) ↦ y + (const v) when
  ¬(is-ConstantExpr y)
  using AddShiftConstantRight by auto

lemma is-neutral-0 [simp]:
  assumes val[(IntVal b x) + (IntVal b 0)] ≠ UndefVal
  shows val[(IntVal b x) + (IntVal b 0)] = (new-int b x)
  by simp

lemma AddNeutral-Exp:
  shows exp[(e + (const (IntVal 32 0)))] ≥ exp[e]
  apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p x
  proof –
    obtain ev where ev: [m,p] ⊢ e ↦ ev
    using p by auto
    then obtain b evx where evx: ev = IntVal b evx
    by (metis evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-add.simps(3,4,5) intval-logic-negation.cases
        p(1,2))
    then have additionNotUndef: val[ev + (IntVal 32 0)] ≠ UndefVal
    using p evalDet ev by blast
    then have sameWidth: b = 32
    by (metis evx additionNotUndef intval-add.simps(1))
    then have unfolded: val[ev + (IntVal 32 0)] = IntVal 32 (take-bit 32 (evx+0))
    by (simp add: evx)

```

```

then have eqE:  $\text{IntVal} \ 32 \ (\text{take-bit} \ 32 \ (\text{evx} + 0)) = \text{IntVal} \ 32 \ (\text{take-bit} \ 32 \ (\text{evx}))$ 
  by auto
then show ?thesis
  by (metis ev evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero evx p(1) sameWidth unfolded)
qed
done

optimization AddNeutral:  $(e + (\text{const} \ (\text{IntVal} \ 32 \ 0))) \longmapsto e$ 
using AddNeutral-Exp by presburger

ML-val ‹@{term ‹x = y›}›

lemma NeutralLeftSubVal:
assumes e1 = new-int b ival
shows val[(e1 - e2) + e2] ≈ e1
using assms by (cases e1; cases e2; auto)

lemma RedundantSubAdd-Exp:
shows exp[((a - b) + b)] ≥ a
apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p y xa ya
proof –
  obtain bv where bv: [m,p] ⊢ b ↦ bv
    using p(1) by auto
  obtain av where av: [m,p] ⊢ a ↦ av
    using p(3) by auto
  then have subNotUndef: val[av - bv] ≠ UndefVal
    by (metis bv evalDet p(3,4,5))
  then obtain bb bvv where bInt: bv = IntVal bb bvv
    by (metis bv evaltree-not-undef intval-logic-negation.cases intval-sub.simps(7,8,9))
  then obtain ba avv where aInt: av = IntVal ba avv
    by (metis av evaltree-not-undef intval-logic-negation.cases intval-sub.simps(3,4,5))
  subNotUndef)
  then have widthSame: bb=ba
    by (metis av bInt bv evalDet intval-sub.simps(1) new-int-bin.simps p(3,4,5))
  then have valEval: val[((av-bv)+bv)] = val[av]
    using aInt av eval-unused-bits-zero widthSame bInt by simp
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis av bv evalDet p(1,3,4))
qed
done

optimization RedundantSubAdd:  $((e_1 - e_2) + e_2) \longmapsto e_1$ 
using RedundantSubAdd-Exp by blast

```

```

lemma allE2:  $(\forall x \ y. \ P \ x \ y) \implies (P \ a \ b \implies R) \implies R$ 
by simp

```

```

lemma just-goal2:
  assumes ( $\forall a b. (val[(a - b) + b] \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge a \neq \text{UndefVal} \longrightarrow val[(a - b) + b] = a)$ )
  shows ( $exp[(e_1 - e_2) + e_2] \geq e_1$ )
  unfolding le-expr-def unfold-binary bin-eval.simps by (metis assms evalDet eval-tree-not-undef)

optimization RedundantSubAdd2:  $e_2 + (e_1 - e_2) \longmapsto e_1$ 
  using size-binary-rhs-a apply simp apply auto
  by (smt (z3) NeutralLeftSub Val evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero intval-add-sym interval-sub.elims new-int.simps well-formed-equal-defn)

```

```

lemma AddToSubHelperLowLevel:
  shows  $val[-e + y] = val[y - e]$  (is ?x = ?y)
  by (induction y; induction e; auto)

```

print-phases

```

lemma val-redundant-add-sub:
  assumes  $a = \text{new-int}$  bb ival
  assumes  $val[b + a] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $val[(b + a) - b] = a$ 
  using assms apply (cases a; cases b; auto) by presburger

```

```

lemma val-add-right-negate-to-sub:
  assumes  $val[x + e] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $val[x + (-e)] = val[x - e]$ 
  by (cases x; cases e; auto simp: assms)

```

```

lemma exp-add-left-negate-to-sub:
   $exp[-e + y] \geq exp[y - e]$ 
  by (cases e; cases y; auto simp: AddToSubHelperLowLevel)

```

```

lemma RedundantAddSub-Exp:
  shows  $exp[(b + a) - b] \geq a$ 
  apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p y xa ya
  proof –

```

```

obtain bv where bv: [m,p] ⊢ b ↪ bv
  using p(1) by auto
obtain av where av: [m,p] ⊢ a ↪ av
  using p(4) by auto
then have addNotUndef: val[av + bv] ≠ UndefVal
  by (metis bv evalDet intval-add-sym intval-sub.simps(2) p(2,3,4))
then obtain bb bvv where bInt: bv = IntVal bb bvv
  by (metis bv evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-add.simps(3,5) intval-logic-negation.cases
      intval-sub.simps(8) p(1,2,3,5))
then obtain ba avv where aInt: av = IntVal ba avv
  by (metis addNotUndef intval-add.simps(2,3,4,5) intval-logic-negation.cases)
then have widthSame: bb=ba
  by (metis addNotUndef bInt intval-add.simps(1))
then have valEval: val[((bv+av)-bv)] = val[av]
  using aInt av eval-unused-bits-zero widthSame bInt by simp
then show ?thesis
  by (metis av bv evalDet p(1,3,4))
qed
done

```

Optimisations

```

optimization RedundantAddSub: (b + a) - b ↪ a
  using RedundantAddSub-Exp by blast

optimization AddRightNegateToSub: x + -e ↪ x - e
  apply (metis Nat.add-0-right add-2-eq-Suc' add-less-mono1 add-mono-thms-linordered-field(2)

    less-SucI not-less-less-Suc-eq size-binary-const size-non-add size-pos)
  using AddToSubHelperLowLevel intval-add-sym by auto

optimization AddLeftNegateToSub: -e + y ↪ y - e
  apply (smt (verit, best) One-nat-def add.commute add-Suc-right is-ConstantExpr-def
    less-add-Suc2
    numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(1) size.simps(11) size-binary-const
    size-non-add)
  using exp-add-left-negate-to-sub by simp

```

end

end

1.3 AndNode Phase

```

theory AndPhase
imports
  Common

```

```

Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin

context stamp-mask
begin

lemma AndCommute-Val:
assumes val[x & y] ≠ UndefVal
shows val[x & y] = val[y & x]
using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto) by (simp add: and.commute)

lemma AndCommute-Exp:
shows exp[x & y] ≥ exp[y & x]
using AndCommute-Val unfold-binary by auto

lemma AndRightFallthrough: (((and (not (↓ x)) (↑ y)) = 0)) → exp[x & y] ≥
exp[y]
apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
subgoal premises p for m p v
proof –
obtain xv where xv: [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
using p(2) by blast
obtain yv where yv: [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ yv
using p(2) by blast
obtain xb xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal xb xvv
by (metis bin-eval-inputs-are-ints bin-eval-int evalDet is-IntVal-def p(2)
unfold-binary xv)
obtain yb yvv where yvv: yv = IntVal yb yvv
by (metis bin-eval-inputs-are-ints bin-eval-int evalDet is-IntVal-def p(2)
unfold-binary yv)
have equalAnd: v = val[xv & yv]
by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(6) evalDet p(2) xv yv)
then have andUnfold: val[xv & yv] = (if xb=yb then new-int xb (and xvv yvv)
else UndefVal)
by (simp add: xvv yvv)
have v = yv
apply (cases v; cases yv; auto)
using p(2) apply auto[1] using yvv apply simp-all
by (metis Value.distinct(1,3,5,7,9,11,13) Value.inject(1) andUnfold equa-
lAnd new-int.simps
xv xvv yv eval-unused-bits-zero new-int.simps not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
equalAnd p(1))++
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: yv)
qed
done

lemma AndLeftFallthrough: (((and (not (↓ y)) (↑ x)) = 0)) → exp[x & y] ≥
exp[x]

```

```

using AndRightFallthrough AndCommute-Exp by simp

end

phase AndNode
  terminating size
begin

lemma bin-and-nots:
  ( $\sim x \& \sim y$ ) = ( $\sim(x \mid y)$ )
  by simp

lemma bin-and-neutral:
  ( $x \& \sim False$ ) =  $x$ 
  by simp

lemma val-and-equal:
  assumes  $x = new\text{-}int b v$ 
  and  $val[x \& x] \neq UndefVal$ 
  shows  $val[x \& x] = x$ 
  by (auto simp: assms)

lemma val-and-nots:
   $val[\sim x \& \sim y] = val[\sim(x \mid y)]$ 
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: take-bit-not-take-bit)

lemma val-and-neutral:
  assumes  $x = new\text{-}int b v$ 
  and  $val[x \& \sim(new\text{-}int b' 0)] \neq UndefVal$ 
  shows  $val[x \& \sim(new\text{-}int b' 0)] = x$ 
  using assms apply (simp add: take-bit-eq-mask) by presburger

lemma val-and-zero:
  assumes  $x = new\text{-}int b v$ 
  shows  $val[x \& (IntVal b 0)] = IntVal b 0$ 
  by (auto simp: assms)

lemma exp-and-equal:
   $exp[x \& x] \geq exp[x]$ 
  apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p xv yv
  proof-

```

```

obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
  using p(1) by auto
obtain yv where yv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ yv
  using p(1) by auto
then have evalSame: xv = yv
  using evalDet xv by auto
then have notUndef: xv ≠ UndefVal ∧ yv ≠ UndefVal
  using evaltree-not-undef xv by blast
then have andNotUndef: val[xv & yv] ≠ UndefVal
  by (metis evalDet evalSame p(1,2,3) xv)
obtain xb xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal xb xvv
  by (metis Value.exhaust-sel andNotUndef evalSame intval-and.simps(3,4,9)
notUndef)
obtain yb yvv where yvv: yv = IntVal yb yvv
  using evalSame xvv by auto
then have widthSame: xb=yb
  using evalSame xvv by auto
then have valSame: yvv=xvv
  using evalSame xvv yvv by blast
then have evalSame0: val[xv & yv] = new-int xb (xvv)
  using evalSame xvv by auto
then show ?thesis
  by (metis eval-unused-bits-zero new-int.simps evalDet p(1,2) valSame width-
Same xv xvv yvv)
qed
done

```

```

lemma exp-and-nots:
  exp[¬x & ¬y] ≥ exp[¬(x ∣ y)]
  using val-and-nots by force

```

```

lemma exp-sign-extend:
  assumes e = (1 << In) - 1
  shows BinaryExpr BinAnd (UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend In Out) x)
    (ConstantExpr (new-int b e))
    ≥ (UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend In Out) x)
apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p va
proof -
  obtain va where va: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ va
    using p(2) by auto
  then have notUndef: va ≠ UndefVal
    by (simp add: evaltree-not-undef)
  then have 1: intval-and (intval-sign-extend In Out va) (IntVal b (take-bit b
e)) ≠ UndefVal
    using evalDet p(1) p(2) va by blast
  then have 2: intval-sign-extend In Out va ≠ UndefVal
    by auto
  then have 21: (0::nat) < b

```

```

    using eval-bits-1-64 p(4) by blast
  then have 3:  $b \sqsubseteq (64::nat)$ 
    using eval-bits-1-64 p(4) by blast
    then have 4:  $-((2::int) \wedge b \text{ div } (2::int)) \sqsubseteq \text{sint}(\text{signed-take-bit}(b - \text{Suc}(0::nat)) (\text{take-bit } b \ e))$ 
      by (simp add: 21 int-power-div-base signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word)
    then have 5:  $\text{sint}(\text{signed-take-bit}(b - \text{Suc}(0::nat)) (\text{take-bit } b \ e)) < (2::int)$ 
       $\wedge b \text{ div } (2::int)$ 
      by (simp add: 21 3 Suc-le-lessD int-power-div-base signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word)
    then have 6:  $[m,p] \vdash \text{UnaryExpr}(\text{UnaryZeroExtend } In \ Out)$ 
       $x \mapsto \text{intval-and}(\text{intval-sign-extend } In \ Out \ va) (\text{IntVal } b (\text{take-bit } b \ e))$ 
      apply (cases va; simp)
      apply (simp add: notUndef) defer
      using 2 apply fastforce+
      sorry
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis evalDet p(2) va)
  qed
done

lemma exp-and-neutral:
assumes wf-stamp x
assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b lo hi
shows exp[(x & ~const(IntVal b 0))] ≥ x
using assms apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p xa
proof-
  obtain xv where xv:  $[m,p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
    using p(3) by auto
  obtain xb xvv where xvv:  $xv = \text{IntVal } xb \ xvv$ 
    by (metis assms valid-int wf-stamp-def xv)
  then have widthSame:  $xb = b$ 
    by (metis p(1,2) valid-int-same-bits wf-stamp-def xv)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero intval-and.simps(1) new-int.elims
new-int-bin.elims
      p(3) take-bit-eq-mask xv xvv)
  qed
done

```

```

lemma val-and-commute[simp]:
  val[x & y] = val[y & x]
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: word-bw-comm(1))

```

Optimisations

optimization AndEqual: $x \ \& \ x \longmapsto x$

```

using exp-and-equal by blast

optimization AndShiftConstantRight: ((const x) & y)  $\mapsto$  y & (const x)
  when  $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$ 
using size-flip-binary by auto

optimization AndNots: ( $\sim$ x) & ( $\sim$ y)  $\mapsto$   $\sim(x \mid y)$ 
by (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-SucI less-add-Suc1 not-less-eq size-binary-const size-non-add
  exp-and-nots)+

optimization AndSignExtend: BinaryExpr BinAnd (UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend
  In Out) (x))
  (const (new-int b e))
   $\mapsto$  (UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend In Out) (x))
  when (e = (1 << In) - 1)
using exp-sign-extend by simp

optimization AndNeutral: (x &  $\sim$ (const (IntVal b 0)))  $\mapsto$  x
  when (wf-stamp x  $\wedge$  stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b lo hi)
using exp-and-neutral by fast

optimization AndRightFallThrough: (x & y)  $\mapsto$  y
  when (((and (not (IREExpr-down x)) (IREExpr-up y)) = 0))
by (simp add: IREExpr-down-def IREExpr-up-def)

optimization AndLeftFallThrough: (x & y)  $\mapsto$  x
  when (((and (not (IREExpr-down y)) (IREExpr-up x)) = 0))
by (simp add: IREExpr-down-def IREExpr-up-def)

end

end

```

1.4 BinaryNode Phase

```

theory BinaryNode
imports
  Common
begin

phase BinaryNode
  terminating size
begin

optimization BinaryFoldConstant: BinaryExpr op (const v1) (const v2)  $\mapsto$  ConstantExpr (bin-eval op v1 v2)
  unfolding le-expr-def
  apply (rule allI impI)+

```

```

subgoal premises bin for m p v
  apply (rule BinaryExprE[OF bin])
  subgoal premises prems for x y
    proof -
      have x: x = v1
        using prems by auto
      have y: y = v2
        using prems by auto
      have xy: v = bin-eval op x y
        by (simp add: prems x y)
      have int:  $\exists b vv . v = \text{new-int } b vv$ 
        using bin-eval-new-int prems by fast
      show ?thesis
        by (metis ConstantExpr prems(1) x y int bin eval-bits-1-64 new-int.simps
            new-int-take-bits
            wf-value-def validDefIntConst)
      qed
    done
  done

end

end

```

1.5 ConditionalNode Phase

```

theory ConditionalPhase
  imports
    Common
    Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin

phase ConditionalNode
  terminating size
begin

lemma negates:  $\exists v b. e = \text{IntVal } b v \wedge b > 0 \implies \text{val-to-bool } (\text{val}[e]) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\text{val-to-bool } (\text{val}[\neg e]))$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) intval-logic-negation.simps(1) logic-negate-def new-int.simps
      of-bool-eq(2) one-neq-zero take-bit-of-0 take-bit-of-1 val-to-bool.simps(1))

lemma negation-condition-intval:
  assumes e = IntVal b ie
  assumes 0 < b
  shows val[(!e) ? x : y] = val[e ? y : x]
  by (metis assms intval-conditional.simps negates)

lemma negation-preserve-eval:

```

```

assumes [m, p] ⊢ exp[!e] ↪ v
shows ∃v'. ([m, p] ⊢ exp[e] ↪ v') ∧ v = val[!v']
using assms by auto

lemma negation-preserve-eval-intval:
assumes [m, p] ⊢ exp[!e] ↪ v
shows ∃v' b vv. ([m, p] ⊢ exp[e] ↪ v') ∧ v' = IntVal b vv ∧ b > 0
by (metis assms eval-bits-1-64 intval-logic-negation.elims negation-preserve-eval
unfold-unary)

optimization NegateConditionFlipBranches: ((!e) ? x : y) ↪ (e ? y : x)
apply simp apply (rule allI; rule allI; rule allI; rule impI)
subgoal premises p for m p v
proof –
  obtain ev where ev: [m,p] ⊢ e ↪ ev
    using p by blast
  obtain notEv where notEv: notEv = intval-logic-negation ev
    by simp
  obtain lhs where lhs: [m,p] ⊢ ConditionalExpr (UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNega-
tion e) x y ↪ lhs
    using p by auto
  obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↪ xv
    using lhs by blast
  obtain yv where yv: [m,p] ⊢ y ↪ yv
    using lhs by blast
  then show ?thesis
    by (smt (z3) le-expr-def ConditionalExpr ConditionalExprE Value.distinct(1)
evalDet negates p
      negation-preserve-eval negation-preserve-eval-intval)
  qed
  done

optimization DefaultTrueBranch: (true ? x : y) ↪ x .
optimization DefaultFalseBranch: (false ? x : y) ↪ y .
optimization ConditionalEqualBranches: (e ? x : x) ↪ x .

optimization condition-bounds-x: ((u < v) ? x : y) ↪ x
  when (stamp-under (stamp-expr u) (stamp-expr v) ∧ wf-stamp u ∧ wf-stamp v)
using stamp-under-defn by fastforce

optimization condition-bounds-y: ((u < v) ? x : y) ↪ y
  when (stamp-under (stamp-expr v) (stamp-expr u) ∧ wf-stamp u ∧ wf-stamp v)
using stamp-under-defn-inverse by fastforce

```

```

lemma val-optimise-integer-test:
  assumes  $\exists v. x = \text{IntVal } 32 v$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[(x \& (\text{IntVal } 32 1)) \text{ eq } (\text{IntVal } 32 0)) ? (\text{IntVal } 32 0) : (\text{IntVal } 32 1)] =$ 
     $\text{val}[x \& \text{IntVal } 32 1]$ 
  using assms apply auto
  apply (metis (full-types) bool-to-val.simps(2) val-to-bool.simps(1))
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) bool-to-val.simps(1) val-to-bool.simps(1) even-iff-mod-2-eq-zero
    odd-iff-mod-2-eq-one and-one-eq)

optimization ConditionalEliminateKnownLess:  $((x < y) ? x : y) \longmapsto x$ 
  when (stamp-under (stamp-expr x) (stamp-expr y)
     $\wedge$  wf-stamp x  $\wedge$  wf-stamp y)
  using stamp-under-defn by fastforce

lemma ExpIntBecomesIntVal:
  assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b xl xh
  assumes wf-stamp x
  assumes valid-value v (IntegerStamp b xl xh)
  assumes  $[m,p] \vdash x \mapsto v$ 
  shows  $\exists xv. v = \text{IntVal } b xv$ 
  using assms by (simp add: IRTreeEvalThms.valid-value-elims(3))

lemma intval-self-is-true:
  assumes yv  $\neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  assumes yv = IntVal b yvv
  shows intval-equals yv yv = IntVal 32 1
  using assms by (cases yv; auto)

lemma intval-commute:
  assumes intval-equals yv xv  $\neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  assumes intval-equals xv yv  $\neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows intval-equals yv xv = intval-equals xv yv
  using assms apply (cases yv; cases xv; auto) by (smt (verit, best))

definition isBoolean :: IRExpr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  isBoolean e =  $(\forall m p cond. (([m,p] \vdash e \mapsto cond) \longrightarrow (cond \in \{\text{IntVal } 32 0, \text{IntVal } 32 1\})))$ 

lemma preserveBoolean:
  assumes isBoolean c
  shows isBoolean exp[!c]
  using assms isBoolean-def apply auto
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) IntVal0 IntVal1 intval-logic-negation.simps(1) logic-negate-def)

optimization ConditionalIntegerEquals-1: exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals (c ?
  x : y) (x)]  $\longmapsto c$ 

```

```

when stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b xl xh ∧
wf-stamp x ∧
stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp b yl yh ∧
wf-stamp y ∧
(alwaysDistinct (stamp-expr x) (stamp-expr
y)) ∧
isBoolean c
apply (metis Canonicalization.cond-size add-lessD1 size-binary-lhs) apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p cExpr xv cond
proof -
obtain cond where cond: [m,p] ⊢ c ↦ cond
using p by blast
have cRange: cond = IntVal 32 0 ∨ cond = IntVal 32 1
using p cond isBoolean-def by blast
then obtain yv where yVal: [m,p] ⊢ y ↦ yv
using p(15) by auto
obtain xxv where xxv: xv = IntVal b xxv
by (metis p(1,2,7) valid-int wf-stamp-def)
obtain yvv where yvv: yv = IntVal b yvv
by (metis ExpIntBecomesIntVal p(3,4) wf-stamp-def yVal)
have yxDiff: xxv ≠ yvv
by (smt (verit, del-insts) yVal xxv wf-stamp-def valid-int-signed-range p yvv)
have eqEvalFalse: intval-equals yv xv = (IntVal 32 0)
unfolding xxv yvv apply auto by (metis (mono-tags) bool-to-val.simps(2)
yxDiff)
then have valEvalSame: cond = intval-equals val[cond ? xv : yv] xv
apply (cases cond = IntVal 32 0; simp) using cRange xxv by auto
then have condTrue: val-to-bool cond ==> cExpr = xv
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) cond evalDet p(11) p(7) p(9))
then have condFalse: ¬(val-to-bool cond) ==> cExpr = yv
by (metis (full-types) cond evalDet p(11) p(9) yVal)
then have [m,p] ⊢ c ↦ intval-equals cExpr xv
using cond condTrue valEvalSame by fastforce
then show ?thesis
by blast
qed
done

```

```

lemma negation-preserve-eval:
assumes [m, p] ⊢ exp[e] ↦ v
assumes isBoolean e
shows ∃ v'. ([m, p] ⊢ exp[!e] ↦ v')
using assms
proof -
obtain b vv where vIntVal: v = IntVal b vv
using isBoolean-def assms by blast
then have negationDefined: intval-logic-negation v ≠ UndefVal
by simp

```

```

show ?thesis
  using assms(1) negationDefined by fastforce
qed

lemma negation-preserve-eval2:
  assumes ([m, p] ⊢ exp[e] ↪ v)
  assumes (isBoolean e)
  shows ∃ v'. ([m, p] ⊢ exp[!e] ↪ v') ∧ v = val[!v']
  using assms
proof –
  obtain notEval where notEval: ([m, p] ⊢ exp[!e] ↪ notEval)
    by (metis assms negation-preserve-eval0)
  then have logicNegateEquiv: notEval = intval-logic-negation v
    using evalDet assms(1) unary-eval.simps(4) by blast
  then have vRange: v = IntVal 32 0 ∨ v = IntVal 32 1
    using assms by (auto simp add: isBoolean-def)
  have evaluateNot: v = intval-logic-negation notEval
    by (metis IntVal0 IntVal1 intval-logic-negation.simps(1) logicNegateEquiv logic-negate-def
      vRange)
  then show ?thesis
    using notEval by auto
qed

optimization ConditionalIntegerEquals-2: exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals (c ? x : y) (y)] ↕ (!c)
  when stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b xl xh ∧
  wf-stamp x ∧
  stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp b yl yh ∧
  wf-stamp y ∧
  (alwaysDistinct (stamp-expr x) (stamp-expr y)) ∧
  isBoolean c
  apply (smt (verit) not-add-less1 max-less-iff-conj max.absorb3 linorder-less-linear
  add-2-eq-Suc'
    add-less-cancel-right size-binary-lhs add-lessD1 Canonicalization.cond-size)
  apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p cExpr yv cond trE faE
  proof –
    obtain cond where cond: [m,p] ⊢ c ↪ cond
      using p by blast
    then have condNotUndef: cond ≠ UndefVal
      by (simp add: evaltree-not-undef)
    then obtain notCond where notCond: [m,p] ⊢ exp[!c] ↪ notCond
      by (meson p(6) negation-preserve-eval2 cond)
    have cRange: cond = IntVal 32 0 ∨ cond = IntVal 32 1
      using p cond by (simp add: isBoolean-def)
    then have cNotRange: notCond = IntVal 32 0 ∨ notCond = IntVal 32 1
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) IntVal0 IntVal1 cond evalDet intval-logic-negation.simps(1)
        logic-negate-def negation-preserve-eval notCond)

```

```

then obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
  using p by auto
then have trueCond: (notCond = IntVal 32 1) ==> [m,p] ⊢ (ConditionalExpr
c x y) ↦ yv
  by (smt (verit, best) cRange evalDet negates negation-preserve-eval notCond
p(7) cond
    zero-less-numeral val-to-bool.simps(1) evaltree-not-undef ConditionalExpr
    ConditionalExprE)
obtain xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal b xvv
  by (metis p(1,2) valid-int wf-stamp-def xv)
then have opposites: notCond = intval-logic-negation cond
  by (metis cond evalDet negation-preserve-eval notCond)
then have negate: (intval-logic-negation cond = IntVal 32 0) ==> (cond =
IntVal 32 1)
  using cRange intval-logic-negation.simps negates by fastforce
have falseCond: (notCond = IntVal 32 0) ==> [m,p] ⊢ (ConditionalExpr c x y)
  ↳ xv
  unfolding opposites using negate cond evalDet p(13,14,15,16) xv by auto
obtain yvv where yvv: yv = IntVal b yvv
  by (metis p(3,4,7) wf-stamp-def ExpIntBecomesIntVal)
have yxDiff: xv ≠ yv
  by (metis linorder-not-less max.absorb1 max.absorb4 max-less-iff-conj min-def
xv yvv
  wf-stamp-def valid-int-signed-range p(1,2,3,4,5,7))
then have trueEvalCond: (cond = IntVal 32 0) ==>
  [m,p] ⊢ exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals (c ? x : y) (y)]
  ↳ intval-equals yv yv
  by (smt (verit) cNotRange trueCond ConditionalExprE cond bin-eval.simps(13)
evalDet p
  falseCond unfold-binary val-to-bool.simps(1))
then have falseEval: (notCond = IntVal 32 0) ==>
  [m,p] ⊢ exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals (c ? x : y) (y)]
  ↳ intval-equals xv yv
  using p by (metis ConditionalExprE bin-eval.simps(13) evalDet falseCond
unfold-binary)
have eqEvalFalse: intval-equals yv xv = (IntVal 32 0)
  unfolding xvv yvv apply auto by (metis (mono-tags) bool-to-val.simps(2)
yxDiff yvv xvv)
  have trueEvalEquiv: [m,p] ⊢ exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals (c ? x : y) (y)]
  ↳ notCond
    apply (cases notCond) prefer 2
    apply (metis IntVal0 Value.distinct(1) eqEvalFalse evalDet evaltree-not-undef
falseEval p(6)
      intval-commute intval-logic-negation.simps(1) intval-self-is-true logic-negate-def
      negation-preserve-eval2 notCond trueEvalCond yvv cNotRange cond)
    using notCond cNotRange by auto
show ?thesis
  using ConditionalExprE
  by (metis cNotRange falseEval notCond trueEvalEquiv trueCond falseCond

```

```

intval-self-is-true
  yvv p(9,11) evalDet)
qed
done

optimization ConditionalExtractCondition: exp[(c ? true : false)]  $\mapsto$  c
  when isBoolean c
  using isBoolean-def by fastforce

optimization ConditionalExtractCondition2: exp[(c ? false : true)]  $\mapsto$  !c
  when isBoolean c
  apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p cExpr cond
  proof-
    obtain cond where cond: [m,p]  $\vdash$  c  $\mapsto$  cond
      using p(2) by auto
    obtain notCond where notCond: [m,p]  $\vdash$  exp[!c]  $\mapsto$  notCond
      by (metis cond negation-preserve-eval2 p(1))
    then have cRange: cond = IntVal 32 0  $\vee$  cond = IntVal 32 1
      using isBoolean-def cond p(1) by auto
    then have cExprRange: cExpr = IntVal 32 0  $\vee$  cExpr = IntVal 32 1
      by (metis (full-types) ConstantExprE p(4))
    then have condTrue: cond = IntVal 32 1  $\implies$  cExpr = IntVal 32 0
      using cond evalDet p(2) p(4) by fastforce
    then have condFalse: cond = IntVal 32 0  $\implies$  cExpr = IntVal 32 1
      using p cond evalDet by fastforce
    then have opposite: cond = intval-logic-negation cExpr
      by (metis (full-types) IntVal0 IntVal1 cRange condTrue intval-logic-negation.simps(1)
          logic-negate-def)
    then have eq: notCond = cExpr
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) IntVal0 IntVal1 cExprRange cond evalDet negation-preserve-eval
          intval-logic-negation.simps(1) logic-negate-def notCond)
    then show ?thesis
      using notCond by auto
qed
done

optimization ConditionalEqualIsRHS: ((x eq y) ? x : y)  $\mapsto$  y
  apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p v true false xa ya
  proof-
    obtain xv where xv: [m,p]  $\vdash$  x  $\mapsto$  xv
      using p(8) by auto
    obtain yv where yv: [m,p]  $\vdash$  y  $\mapsto$  yv
      using p(9) by auto
    have notUndef: xv  $\neq$  UndefVal  $\wedge$  yv  $\neq$  UndefVal
      using evaltree-not-undef xv yv by blast
    have evalNotUndef: intval-equals xv yv  $\neq$  UndefVal

```

```

by (metis evalDet p(1,8,9) xv yv)
obtain xb xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal xb xvv
  by (metis Value.exhaust evalNotUndef intval-equals.simps(3,4,5) notUndef)
obtain yb yvv where yvv: yv = IntVal yb yvv
  by (metis evalNotUndef intval-equals.simps(7,8,9) intval-logic-negation.cases
notUndef)
obtain vv where evalLHS: [m,p] ⊢ if val-to-bool (intval-equals xv yv) then x
else y ↦ vv
  by (metis (full-types) p(4) yv)
obtain equ where equ: equ = intval-equals xv yv
  by fastforce
have trueEval: equ = IntVal 32 1 ⟹ vv = xv
  using evalLHS by (simp add: evalDet xv equ)
have falseEval: equ = IntVal 32 0 ⟹ vv = yv
  using evalLHS by (simp add: evalDet yv equ)
then have vv = v
  by (metis evalDet evalLHS p(2,8,9) xv yv)
then show ?thesis
  by (metis (full-types) bool-to-val.simps(1,2) bool-to-val-bin.simps equ evalNo-
tUndef falseEval
    intval-equals.simps(1) trueEval xvv yv yvv)
qed
done

```

```

optimization normalizeX: ((x eq const (IntVal 32 0)) ?
  (const (IntVal 32 0)) : (const (IntVal 32 1))) ↞ x
  when stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp 32 0 1 ∧ wf-stamp x ∧
  isBoolean x
apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p v
proof -
  obtain xa where xa: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xa
    using p by blast
  have eval: [m,p] ⊢ if val-to-bool (intval-equals xa (IntVal 32 0))
    then ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0)
    else ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 1) ↦ v
    using evalDet p(3,4,5,6,7) xa by blast
  then have xaRange: xa = IntVal 32 0 ∨ xa = IntVal 32 1
    using isBoolean-def p(3) xa by blast
  then have 6: v = xa
    using eval xaRange by auto
  then show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: xa)
qed
done

```

```
optimization normalizeX2: ((x eq (const (IntVal 32 1)))) ?
```

```


$$(const (IntVal 32 1)) : (const (IntVal 32 0))) \mapsto x$$


$$\text{when } (x = \text{ConstantExpr} (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid$$


$$(x = \text{ConstantExpr} (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) .$$


optimization flipX:  $((x \text{ eq } (const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \ ?$ 

$$(const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)) : (const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \mapsto x \oplus (const$$


$$(\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))$$


$$\text{when } (x = \text{ConstantExpr} (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid$$


$$(x = \text{ConstantExpr} (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) .$$


optimization flipX2:  $((x \text{ eq } (const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \ ?$ 

$$(const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \mapsto x \oplus$$


$$(const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))$$


$$\text{when } (x = \text{ConstantExpr} (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid$$


$$(x = \text{ConstantExpr} (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) .$$


lemma stamp-of-default:
assumes stamp-expr  $x = \text{default-stamp}$ 
assumes wf-stamp  $x$ 
shows  $([m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v) \longrightarrow (\exists vv. v = \text{IntVal } 32 \ vv)$ 
by (metis assms default-stamp valid-value-elims(3) wf-stamp-def)

optimization OptimiseIntegerTest:

$$(((x \ \& \ (const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \text{ eq } (const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \ ?$$


$$(const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \mapsto$$


$$x \ \& \ (const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))$$


$$\text{when } (\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{default-stamp} \wedge \text{wf-stamp } x)$$

apply (simp; rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
subgoal premises eval for  $m \ p \ v$ 
proof –
obtain xv where xv:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
using eval by fast
then have x32:  $\exists v. xv = \text{IntVal } 32 \ v$ 
using stamp-of-default eval by auto
obtain lhs where lhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \exp[((x \ \& \ (const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \text{ eq } (const (\text{IntVal }$ 

$$32 \ 0))) \ ?$$


$$(const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)))] \mapsto lhs$$

using eval(2) by auto
then have lhsV:  $lhs = \text{val}[(x \ \& \ (IntVal 32 1)) \text{ eq } (IntVal 32 0)]$ 

$$(IntVal 32 0) : (IntVal 32 1)]$$

using ConditionalExprE ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(4,11) evalDet xv unfold-binary
intval-conditional.simps
by fastforce
obtain rhs where rhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \exp[x \ \& \ (const (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))] \mapsto rhs$ 
using eval(2) by blast
then have rhsV:  $rhs = \text{val}[xv \ \& \ IntVal 32 \ 1]$ 

```

```

    by (metis BinaryExprE ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(6) evalDet xv)
have lhs = rhs
  using val-optimise-integer-test x32 lhsV rhsV by presburger
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis eval(2) evalDet lhs rhs)
qed
done

optimization opt-optimise-integer-test-2:
  (((x & (const (IntVal 32 1))) eq (const (IntVal 32 0))) ?
   (const (IntVal 32 0)) : (const (IntVal 32 1))) \mapsto x
  when (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal
32 1))) .

```

end

end

1.6 MulNode Phase

```

theory MulPhase
imports
  Common
  Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin

fun mul-size :: IRExpr ⇒ nat where
  mul-size (UnaryExpr op e) = (mul-size e) + 2 |
  mul-size (BinaryExpr BinMul x y) = ((mul-size x) + (mul-size y) + 2) * 2 |
  mul-size (BinaryExpr op x y) = (mul-size x) + (mul-size y) + 2 |
  mul-size (ConditionalExpr cond t f) = (mul-size cond) + (mul-size t) + (mul-size
f) + 2 |
  mul-size (ConstantExpr c) = 1 |
  mul-size (ParameterExpr ind s) = 2 |
  mul-size (LeafExpr nid s) = 2 |
  mul-size (ConstantVar c) = 2 |
  mul-size (VariableExpr x s) = 2

phase MulNode
  terminating mul-size
begin

```

```

lemma bin-eliminate-redundant-negative:
  uminus (x :: 'a::len word) * uminus (y :: 'a::len word) = x * y
  by simp

lemma bin-multiply-identity:
  (x :: 'a::len word) * 1 = x
  by simp

lemma bin-multiply-eliminate:
  (x :: 'a::len word) * 0 = 0
  by simp

lemma bin-multiply-negative:
  (x :: 'a::len word) * uminus 1 = uminus x
  by simp

lemma bin-multiply-power-2:
  (x:: 'a::len word) * (2j) = x << j
  by simp

lemma take-bit64[simp]:
  fixes w :: int64
  shows take-bit 64 w = w
  proof -
    have Nat.size w = 64
      by (simp add: size64)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis lt2p-lem mask-eq-iff take-bit-eq-mask verit-comp-simplify1(2) wsst-TYs(3))
  qed

lemma mergeTakeBit:
  fixes a :: nat
  fixes b c :: 64 word
  shows take-bit a (take-bit a (b) * take-bit a (c)) =
    take-bit a (b * c)
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) take-bit-mult take-bit-of-int unsigned-take-bit-eq word-mult-def)

lemma val-eliminate-redundant-negative:
  assumes val[−x * −y] ≠ UndefVal
  shows val[−x * −y] = val[x * y]
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: mergeTakeBit)

lemma val-multiply-neutral:
  assumes x = new-int b v
  shows val[x * (IntVal b 1)] = x

```

```

by (auto simp: assms)

lemma val-multiply-zero:
assumes x = new-int b v
shows val[x * (IntVal b 0)] = IntVal b 0
by (simp add: assms)

lemma val-multiply-negative:
assumes x = new-int b v
shows val[x * -(IntVal b 1)] = val[-x]
unfolding assms(1) apply auto
by (metis bin-multiply-negative mergeTakeBit take-bit-minus-one-eq-mask)

lemma val-MulPower2:
fixes i :: 64 word
assumes y = IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i))
and 0 < i
and i < 64
and val[x * y] ≠ UndefVal
shows val[x * y] = val[x << IntVal 64 i]
using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
subgoal premises p for x2
proof -
have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
by eval
then have (2::int) ^ 6 = 64
by eval
then have uint i < (2::int) ^ 6
by (metis linorder-not-less lt2p-lem of-int-numeral p(4) word-2p-lem word-of-int-2p
wsst-TYs(3))
then have and i (mask 6) = i
using mask-eq-iff by blast
then show x2 << unat i = x2 << unat (and i (63::64 word))
by (auto simp: 63)
qed
by presburger

lemma val-MulPower2Add1:
fixes i :: 64 word
assumes y = IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + 1)
and 0 < i
and i < 64
and val-to-bool(val[IntVal 64 0 < x])
and val-to-bool(val[IntVal 64 0 < y])
shows val[x * y] = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) + x]
using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)

```

```

subgoal premises p for x2
proof -
  have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
    by eval
  then have (2 :: int) ^ 6 = 64
    by eval
  then have and i (mask 6) = i
    by (simp add: less-mask-eq p(6))
  then have x2 * (2 ^ unat i + 1) = (x2 * (2 ^ unat i)) + x2
    by (simp add: distrib-left)
  then show x2 * (2 ^ unat i + 1) = x2 << unat (and i 63) + x2
    by (simp add: 63 `and i (mask 6) = i`)
  qed
  using val-to-bool.simps(2) by presburger

```

```

lemma val-MulPower2Sub1:
  fixes i :: 64 word
  assumes y = IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) - 1)
  and 0 < i
  and i < 64
  and val-to-bool(val[IntVal 64 0 < x])
  and val-to-bool(val[IntVal 64 0 < y])
  shows val[x * y] = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) - x]
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  subgoal premises p for x2
  proof -
    have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
      by eval
    then have (2 :: int) ^ 6 = 64
      by eval
    then have and i (mask 6) = i
      by (simp add: less-mask-eq p(6))
    then have x2 * (2 ^ unat i - 1) = (x2 * (2 ^ unat i)) - x2
      by (simp add: right-diff-distrib')
    then show x2 * (2 ^ unat i - 1) = x2 << unat (and i 63) - x2
      by (simp add: 63 `and i (mask 6) = i`)
    qed
    using val-to-bool.simps(2) by presburger

```

```

lemma val-distribute-multiplication:
  assumes x = IntVal b xx ∧ q = IntVal b qq ∧ a = IntVal b aa
  assumes val[x * (q + a)] ≠ UndefVal
  assumes val[(x * q) + (x * a)] ≠ UndefVal
  shows val[x * (q + a)] = val[(x * q) + (x * a)]
  using assms apply (cases x; cases q; cases a; auto)
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) distrib-left new-int-unused-bits-zero mergeTakeBit)

```

```

lemma val-distribute-multiplication64:
  assumes x = new-int 64 xx ∧ q = new-int 64 qq ∧ a = new-int 64 aa
  shows val[x * (q + a)] = val[(x * q) + (x * a)]
  using assms apply (cases x; cases q; cases a; auto)
  using distrib-left by blast

lemma val-MulPower2AddPower2:
  fixes i j :: 64 word
  assumes y = IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + (2 ^ unat(j)))
  and 0 < i
  and 0 < j
  and i < 64
  and j < 64
  and x = new-int 64 xx
  shows val[x * y] = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) + (x << IntVal 64 j)]
  proof -
    have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
    by eval
    then have (2 :: int) ^ 6 = 64
    by eval
    then have n: IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + (2 ^ unat(j))) =
      val[IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i))] + (IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(j)))
    by auto
    then have 1: val[x * ((IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i))) + (IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(j))))]
    =
      val[(x * IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i))) + (x * IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(j)))]
    using assms val-distribute-multiplication64 by simp
    then have 2: val[(x * IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i)))] = val[x << IntVal 64 i]
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Value.distinct(1) intval-mul.simps(1)
    new-int.simps
    new-int-bin.simps assms(2,4,6) val-MulPower2)
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 Value.distinct(1) n intval-mul.simps(1) new-int-bin.elims
    new-int.simps val-MulPower2 assms(1,3,5,6))
  qed

```

thm-oracles val-MulPower2AddPower2

```

lemma exp-multiply-zero-64:
  shows exp[x * (const (IntVal b 0))] ≥ ConstantExpr (IntVal b 0)
  apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p xa
  proof -
    obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↠ xv

```

```

using p(1) by auto
obtain xb xxv where xxv: xv = IntVal xb xxv
by (metis evalDet p(1,2) xv evaltree-not-undef intval-is-null.cases intval-mul.simps(3,4,5))
then have evalNotUndef: val[xv * (IntVal b 0)] ≠ UndefVal
  using p evalDet xv by blast
then have mulUnfold: val[xv * (IntVal b 0)] = IntVal xb (take-bit xb (xxv*0))
  by (metis new-int.simps xxv new-int-bin.simps intval-mul.simps(1))
then have isZero: val[xv * (IntVal b 0)] = (new-int xb (0))
  by (simp add: mulUnfold)
then have eq: (IntVal b 0) = (IntVal xb (0))
  by (metis Value.distinct(1) intval-mul.simps(1) mulUnfold new-int-bin.elims
xxv)
then show ?thesis
  using evalDet isZero p(1,3) xv by fastforce
qed
done

```

```

lemma exp-multiply-neutral:
exp[x * (const (IntVal b 1))] ≥ x
apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p xa
proof –
  obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
    using p(1) by auto
  obtain xb xxv where xxv: xv = IntVal xb xxv
    by (smt (z3) evalDet intval-mul.elims p(1,2) xv)
  then have evalNotUndef: val[xv * (IntVal b 1)] ≠ UndefVal
    using p evalDet xv by blast
  then have mulUnfold: val[xv * (IntVal b 1)] = IntVal xb (take-bit xb (xxv*1))
    by (metis new-int.simps xxv new-int-bin.simps intval-mul.simps(1))
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis bin-multiply-identity evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero p(1) xv xxv)
qed
done

```

thm-oracles exp-multiply-neutral

```

lemma exp-multiply-negative:
exp[x * -(const (IntVal b 1))] ≥ exp[-x]
apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p xa
proof –
  obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
    using p(1) by auto
  obtain xb xxv where xxv: xv = IntVal xb xxv
    by (metis array-length.cases evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-mul.simps(3,4,5)
p(1,2) xv)
  then have rewrite: val[-(IntVal b 1)] = IntVal b (mask b)
    by simp

```

```

then have evalNotUndef: val[xv * -(IntVal b 1)] ≠ UndefVal
  unfolding rewrite using evalDet p(1,2) xv by blast
then have mulUnfold: val[xv * (IntVal b (mask b))] =
  (if xb=b then (IntVal xb (take-bit xb (xvv*(mask xb)))) else
UndefVal)
  by (metis new-int.simps xvv new-int-bin.simps intval-mul.simps(1))
then have sameWidth: xb=b
  by (metis evalNotUndef rewrite)
then show ?thesis
  by (metis evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero new-int.elims p(1,2) rewrite unary-eval.simps(2)
xvv
  unfold-unary val-multiply-negative xv)
qed
done

lemma exp-MulPower2:
  fixes i :: 64 word
  assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i)))
  and 0 < i
  and i < 64
  and exp[x > (const IntVal b 0)]
  and exp[y > (const IntVal b 0)]
shows exp[x * y] ≥ exp[x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)]
using ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary assms by fastforce

lemma exp-MulPower2Add1:
  fixes i :: 64 word
  assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + 1))
  and 0 < i
  and i < 64
  and exp[x > (const IntVal b 0)]
  and exp[y > (const IntVal b 0)]
shows exp[x * y] ≥ exp[(x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)) + x]
using ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary assms by fastforce

lemma exp-MulPower2Sub1:
  fixes i :: 64 word
  assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) - 1))
  and 0 < i
  and i < 64
  and exp[x > (const IntVal b 0)]
  and exp[y > (const IntVal b 0)]
shows exp[x * y] ≥ exp[(x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)) - x]
using ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary assms by fastforce

lemma exp-MulPower2AddPower2:
  fixes i j :: 64 word
  assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + (2 ^ unat(j))))
  and 0 < i

```

```

and      0 < j
and      i < 64
and      j < 64
and      exp[x > (const IntVal b 0)]
and      exp[y > (const IntVal b 0)]
shows   exp[x * y] ≥ exp[(x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)) + (x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 j))]
using   ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary assms by fastforce

```

lemma greaterConstant:

```

fixes a b :: 64 word
assumes a > b
and      y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 a)
and      x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 b)
shows   exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan y x] ≥ exp[const (new-int 32 0)]
using   assms
apply simp unfolding equiv-exprs-def apply auto
sorry

```

lemma exp-distribute-multiplication:

```

assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b xl xh
assumes stamp-expr q = IntegerStamp b ql qh
assumes stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp b yr yh
assumes wf-stamp x
assumes wf-stamp q
assumes wf-stamp y
shows   exp[(x * q) + (x * y)] ≥ exp[x * (q + y)]
apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p xa qa xb aa
proof -
  obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
    using p by simp
  obtain qv where qv: [m,p] ⊢ q ↦ qv
    using p by simp
  obtain yv where yv: [m,p] ⊢ y ↦ yv
    using p by simp
  then obtain xxv where xxv: xv = IntVal b xxv
    by (metis assms(1,4) valid-int wf-stamp-def xv)
  then obtain qvv where qvv: qv = IntVal b qvv
    by (metis qv valid-int assms(2,5) wf-stamp-def)
  then obtain yvv where yvv: yv = IntVal b yvv
    by (metis yv valid-int assms(3,6) wf-stamp-def)
  then have rhsDefined: val[xv * (qv + yv)] ≠ UndefVal
    by (simp add: xxv qvv)
  have val[xv * (qv + yv)] = val[(xv * qv) + (xv * yv)]
    using val-distribute-multiplication by (simp add: yvv qvv xxv)
  then show ?thesis

```

```

    by (metis bin-eval.simps(1,3) BinaryExpr p(1,2,3,5,6) qv xv evalDet yv qvv
Value.distinct(1)
yvv intval-add.simps(1))
qed
done

Optimisations

optimization EliminateRedundantNegative:  $-x * -y \rightarrow x * y$ 
apply auto
by (metis BinaryExpr val-eliminate-redundant-negative bin-eval.simps(3))

optimization MulNeutral:  $x * \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b \ 1) \rightarrow x$ 
using exp-multiply-neutral by blast

optimization MulEliminator:  $x * \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) \rightarrow \text{const } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0)$ 
using exp-multiply-zero-64 by fast

optimization MulNegate:  $x * -(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } b \ 1)) \rightarrow -x$ 
using exp-multiply-negative by presburger

fun isNonZero :: Stamp  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
isNonZero (IntegerStamp b lo hi) = (lo > 0) |
isNonZero - = False

lemma isNonZero-defn:
assumes isNonZero (stamp-expr x)
assumes wf-stamp x
shows  $([m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v) \rightarrow (\exists vv. (v = \text{IntVal } b \ vv \wedge \text{val-to-bool val}[(\text{IntVal } b \ 0) < v]))$ 
apply (rule impI) subgoal premises eval
proof -
obtain b lo hi where xstamp: stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b lo hi
by (meson isNonZero.elims(2) assms)
then obtain vv where vdef:  $v = \text{IntVal } b \ vv$ 
by (metis assms(2) eval valid-int wf-stamp-def)
have lo > 0
using assms(1) xstamp by force
then have signed-above:  $\text{int-signed-value } b \ vv > 0$ 
using assms eval vdef xstamp wf-stamp-def by fastforce
have take-bit b vv = vv
using eval eval-unused-bits-zero vdef by auto
then have vv > 0
by (metis bit-take-bit-iff int-signed-value.simps signed-eq-0-iff take-bit-of-0 signed-above
verit-comp-simplify1(1) word-gt-0 signed-take-bit-eq-if-positive)
then show ?thesis
using vdef signed-above by simp
qed
done

```

```

lemma ExpIntBecomesIntValArbitrary:
  assumes stamp-expr  $x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \text{ xl } xh$ 
  assumes wf-stamp  $x$ 
  assumes valid-value  $v (\text{IntegerStamp } b \text{ xl } xh)$ 
  assumes  $[m,p] \vdash x \mapsto v$ 
  shows  $\exists xv. v = \text{IntVal } b \text{ xv}$ 
  using assms by (simp add: IRTreeEvalThms.valid-value-elims(3))

optimization MulPower2:  $x * y \longmapsto x << \text{const} (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)$ 
  when ( $i > 0 \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ xl } xh \wedge$ 
  wf-stamp  $x \wedge$ 
     $64 > i \wedge$ 
     $y = \exp[\text{const} (\text{IntVal } 64 \ (2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)))]$ 
  apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
  subgoal premises eval for m p v
  proof -
    obtain xv where xv:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
      using eval(2) by blast
    then have notUndef:  $xv \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
      by (simp add: evaltree-not-undef)
    obtain xb xvv where xvv:  $xv = \text{IntVal } xb \text{ xvv}$ 
      by (metis wf-stamp-def eval(1) ExpIntBecomesIntValArbitrary xv)
    then have w64:  $xb = 64$ 
      by (metis wf-stamp-def intval-bits.simps ExpIntBecomesIntValArbitrary xv eval(1))
    obtain yv where yv:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$ 
      using eval(1,2) by blast
    then have lhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \exp[x * y] \mapsto \text{val}[xv * yv]$ 
      by (metis bin-eval.simps(3) eval(1,2) evalDet unfold-binary xv)
    have [m, p]  $\vdash \exp[\text{const} (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)] \mapsto \text{val}[(\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)]$ 
      by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) ConstantExpr constantAsStamp.simps(1) eval-bits-1-64
      take-bit64 xv xvv
      validStampIntConst wf-value-def valid-value.simps(1) w64)
    then have rhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \exp[x << \text{const} (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)] \mapsto \text{val}[xv << (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)]$ 
      by (metis Value.simps(5) bin-eval.simps(10) intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps
      xv xvv
      evaltree.BinaryExpr)
    have val[xv * yv] = val[xv << (IntVal 64 i)]
      by (metis ConstantExpr eval(1) evaltree-not-undef lhs yv val-MulPower2)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis eval(1,2) evalDet lhs rhs)
  qed
  done

optimization MulPower2Add1:  $x * y \longmapsto (x << \text{const} (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)) + x$ 
  when ( $i > 0 \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ xl } xh \wedge$ 
  wf-stamp  $x \wedge$ 
     $64 > i \wedge$ 

```

```

 $y = \text{ConstantExpr} (\text{IntVal } 64 ((2^{\wedge} \text{unat}(i)) + 1))$ 
apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
subgoal premises p for m p v
proof -
  obtain xv where xv: [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
    using p by fast
  then obtain xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal 64 xvv
    using p by (metis valid-int wf-stamp-def)
  obtain yv where yv: [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ yv
    using p by blast
  have ygezero: y > ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 0)
    using greaterConstant p wf-value-def sorry
  then have 1: 0 < i ∧
    i < 64 ∧
    y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2^{\wedge} \text{unat}(i)) + 1))
    using p by blast
  then have lhs: [m, p] ⊢ exp[x * y] ↦ val[xv * yv]
    by (metis bin-eval.simps(3) evalDet p(2) xv yv unfold-binary)
  then have [m, p] ⊢ exp[const (IntVal 64 i)] ↦ val[(IntVal 64 i)]
    by (metis wf-value-def verit-comp-simplify1(2) zero-less-numeral ConstantExpr
take-bit64
    constantAsStamp.simps(1) validStampConst valid-value.simps(1))
  then have rhs2: [m, p] ⊢ exp[x << const (IntVal 64 i)] ↦ val[xv << (IntVal
64 i)]
    by (metis Value.simps(5) bin-eval.simps(10) intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps
xv xvv
    evaltree.BinaryExpr)
  then have rhs: [m, p] ⊢ exp[(x << const (IntVal 64 i)) + x] ↦ val[(xv <<
(IntVal 64 i)) + xv]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) intval-add.simps(1) bin-eval.simps(1) Value.simps(5)
xv xvv
    evaltree.BinaryExpr intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps)
  then have simple: val[xv * (IntVal 64 (2^{\wedge} \text{unat}(i)))] = val[xv << (IntVal 64
i)]
    using val-MulPower2 sorry
  then have val[xv * yv] = val[(xv << (IntVal 64 i)) + xv]
    using val-MulPower2Add1 sorry
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis 1 evalDet lhs p(2) rhs)
qed
done

```

optimization *MulPower2Sub1*: $x * y \longmapsto (x << \text{const} (\text{IntVal } 64 i)) - x$
when ($i > 0 \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 xl xh \wedge$
 $\text{wf-stamp } x \wedge$
 $64 > i \wedge$
 $y = \text{ConstantExpr} (\text{IntVal } 64 ((2^{\wedge} \text{unat}(i)) - 1))$)
apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)

```

subgoal premises p for m p v
proof -
  obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
    using p by fast
  then obtain xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal 64 xvv
    using p by (metis valid-int wf-stamp-def)
  obtain yv where yv: [m,p] ⊢ y ↦ yv
    using p by blast
  have ygezero: y > ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 0) sorry
  then have 1: 0 < i ∧
    i < 64 ∧
    y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) - 1))
    using p by blast
  then have lhs: [m, p] ⊢ exp[x * y] ↦ val[xv * yv]
    by (metis bin-eval.simps(3) evalDet p(2) xv yv unfold-binary)
  then have [m, p] ⊢ exp[const (IntVal 64 i)] ↦ val[(IntVal 64 i)]
    by (metis wf-value-def verit-comp-simplify1(2) zero-less-numeral ConstantExpr
take-bit64
  constantAsStamp.simps(1) validStampIntConst valid-value.simps(1))
  then have rhs2: [m, p] ⊢ exp[x << const (IntVal 64 i)] ↦ val[xv << (IntVal
64 i)]
    by (metis Value.simps(5) bin-eval.simps(10) intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps
xv xvv
  evaltree.BinaryExpr)
  then have rhs: [m, p] ⊢ exp[(x << const (IntVal 64 i)) - x] ↦ val[(xv <<
(IntVal 64 i)) - xv]
    using 1 equiv-exprs-def ygezero yv by fastforce
  then have val[xv * yv] = val[(xv << (IntVal 64 i)) - xv]
    using 1 exp-MulPower2Sub1 ygezero sorry
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet lhs p(1) p(2) rhs)
qed
done

end
end

```

1.7 Experimental AndNode Phase

```

theory NewAnd
imports
  Common
  Graph.JavaLong
begin

lemma intval-distribute-and-over-or:
  val[z & (x | y)] = val[(z & x) | (z & y)]
  by (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto simp add: bit.conj-disj-distrib)

```

```

lemma exp-distribute-and-over-or:
   $\exp[z \& (x \mid y)] \geq \exp[(z \& x) \mid (z \& y)]$ 
  apply auto
  by (metis bin-eval.simps(6,7) intval-or.simps(2,6) intval-distribute-and-over-or
BinaryExpr)

lemma intval-and-commute:
   $\text{val}[x \& y] = \text{val}[y \& x]$ 
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: and.commute)

lemma intval-or-commute:
   $\text{val}[x \mid y] = \text{val}[y \mid x]$ 
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: or.commute)

lemma intval-xor-commute:
   $\text{val}[x \oplus y] = \text{val}[y \oplus x]$ 
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: xor.commute)

lemma exp-and-commute:
   $\exp[x \& z] \geq \exp[z \& x]$ 
  by (auto simp: intval-and-commute)

lemma exp-or-commute:
   $\exp[x \mid y] \geq \exp[y \mid x]$ 
  by (auto simp: intval-or-commute)

lemma exp-xor-commute:
   $\exp[x \oplus y] \geq \exp[y \oplus x]$ 
  by (auto simp: intval-xor-commute)

lemma intval-eliminate-y:
  assumes  $\text{val}[y \& z] = \text{IntVal}\ b\ 0$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[(x \mid y) \& z] = \text{val}[x \& z]$ 
  using assms by (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto simp add: bit.conj-disj-distrib2)

lemma intval-and-associative:
   $\text{val}[(x \& y) \& z] = \text{val}[x \& (y \& z)]$ 
  by (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto simp: and.assoc)

lemma intval-or-associative:
   $\text{val}[(x \mid y) \mid z] = \text{val}[x \mid (y \mid z)]$ 
  by (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto simp: or.assoc)

lemma intval-xor-associative:
   $\text{val}[(x \oplus y) \oplus z] = \text{val}[x \oplus (y \oplus z)]$ 
  by (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto simp: xor.assoc)

lemma exp-and-associative:

```

$\exp[(x \& y) \& z] \geq \exp[x \& (y \& z)]$
using intval-and-associative by fastforce

lemma *exp-or-associative*:

$\exp[(x \mid y) \mid z] \geq \exp[x \mid (y \mid z)]$
using intval-or-associative by fastforce

lemma *exp-xor-associative*:

$\exp[(x \oplus y) \oplus z] \geq \exp[x \oplus (y \oplus z)]$
using intval-xor-associative by fastforce

lemma *intval-and-absorb-or*:

assumes $\exists b v . x = \text{new-int } b v$
assumes $\text{val}[x \& (x \mid y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x \& (x \mid y)] = \text{val}[x]$
using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
by (metis (full-types) intval-and.simps(6))

lemma *intval-or-absorb-and*:

assumes $\exists b v . x = \text{new-int } b v$
assumes $\text{val}[x \mid (x \& y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x \mid (x \& y)] = \text{val}[x]$
using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
by (metis (full-types) intval-or.simps(6))

lemma *exp-and-absorb-or*:

$\exp[x \& (x \mid y)] \geq \exp[x]$

apply auto

subgoal premises p for m p xa xaa ya

proof –

obtain xv where $xv: [m,p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$

using p(1) by auto

obtain yv where $yv: [m,p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$

using p(4) by auto

then have lhsDefined: $\text{val}[xv \& (xv \mid yv)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$

by (metis evalDet p(1,2,3,4) xv)

obtain xb xvv where $xvv: xv = \text{IntVal } xb \text{ xvv}$

by (metis Value.exhaust-sel intval-and.simps(2,3,4,5) lhsDefined)

obtain yb yvv where $yvv: yv = \text{IntVal } yb \text{ yvv}$

by (metis Value.exhaust-sel intval-and.simps(6) intval-or.simps(6,7,8,9) lhsDefined)

then have valEval: $\text{val}[xv \& (xv \mid yv)] = \text{val}[xv]$

by (metis eval-unused-bits-zero intval-and-absorb-or lhsDefined new-int.elims xv xvv)

then show ?thesis

by (metis evalDet p(1,3,4) xv yv)

qed

done

```

lemma exp-or-absorb-and:
   $\exp[x \mid (x \& y)] \geq \exp[x]$ 
  apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p xa xaa ya
  proof-
    obtain xv where xv: [m,p]  $\vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
      using p(1) by auto
    obtain yv where yv: [m,p]  $\vdash y \mapsto yv$ 
      using p(4) by auto
    then have lhsDefined: val[xv  $\mid$  (xv  $\&$  yv)]  $\neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
      by (metis evalDet p(1,2,3,4) xv)
    obtain xb xxv where xxv: xv = IntVal xb xxv
      by (metis Value.exhaust-sel intval-and.simps(3,4,5) intval-or.simps(2,6) lhsDefined)
    obtain yb yvv where yvv: yv = IntVal yb yvv
      by (metis Value.exhaust-sel intval-and.simps(6,7,8,9) intval-or.simps(6) lhsDefined)
    then have valEval: val[xv  $\mid$  (xv  $\&$  yv)] = val[xv]
      by (metis eval-unused-bits-zero intval-or-absorb-and lhsDefined new-int.elims xv xxv)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis evalDet p(1,3,4) xv yv)
  qed
  done

lemma
  assumes y = 0
  shows x + y = or x y
  by (simp add: assms)

```

```

lemma no-overlap-or:
  assumes and x y = 0
  shows x + y = or x y
  by (metis bit-and-iff bit-xor-iff disjunctive-add xor-self-eq assms)

```

```

context stamp-mask
begin

lemma intval-up-and-zero-implies-zero:
  assumes and ( $\uparrow x$ ) ( $\uparrow y$ ) = 0

```

```

assumes [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
assumes [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ yv
assumes val[xv & yv] ≠ UndefVal
shows ∃ b . val[xv & yv] = new-int b 0
using assms apply (cases xv; cases yv; auto)
apply (metis eval-unused-bits-zero stamp-mask.up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero stamp-mask-axioms)
by presburger

lemma exp-eliminate-y:
and (↑y) (↑z) = 0 → exp[(x | y) & z] ≥ exp[x & z]
apply simp apply (rule impI; rule allI; rule allI; rule allI)
subgoal premises p for m p v apply (rule impI) subgoal premises e
proof –
obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
using e by auto
obtain yv where yv: [m,p] ⊢ y ↦ yv
using e by auto
obtain zv where zv: [m,p] ⊢ z ↦ zv
using e by auto
have lhs: v = val[(xv | yv) & zv]
by (smt (verit, best) BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(6,7) e evalDet xv yv zv)
then have v = val[(xv & zv) | (yv & zv)]
by (simp add: intval-and-commute intval-distribute-and-over-or)
also have ∃ b . val[yv & zv] = new-int b 0
by (metis calculation e intval-or.simps(6) p unfold-binary intval-up-and-zero-implies-zero
yv
zv)
ultimately have rhs: v = val[xv & zv]
by (auto simp: intval-eliminate-y lhs)
from lhs rhs show ?thesis
by (metis BinaryExpr BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(6) e xv zv)
qed
done
done

lemma leadingZeroBounds:
fixes x :: 'a::len word
assumes n = numberOfRowsInSection x
shows 0 ≤ n ∧ n ≤ Nat.size x
by (simp add: MaxOrNeg-def highestOneBit-def nat-le-iff numberOfRowsInSection-assms)

lemma above-nth-not-set:
fixes x :: int64
assumes n = 64 - numberOfRowsInSection x
shows j > n → ¬(bit x j)
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) highestOneBit-def int-nat-eq int-ops(6) less-imp-of-nat-less
size64
max-set-bit zerosAboveHighestOne assms numberOfRowsInSection-def)

```

```

no-notation LogicNegationNotation (!-)

lemma zero-horner:
  horner-sum of-bool 2 (map ( $\lambda x. \text{False}$ ) xs) = 0
  by (induction xs; auto)

lemma zero-map:
  assumes  $j \leq n$ 
  assumes  $\forall i. j \leq i \rightarrow \neg(f i)$ 
  shows map f [0..<n] = map f [0..<j] @ map ( $\lambda x. \text{False}$ ) [j..<n]
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) add-diff-inverse-nat atLeastLessThan-iff bot-nat-0.extremum
  leD assms
    map-append map-eq-conv set-upd upd-add-eq-append)

lemma map-join-horner:
  assumes map f [0..<n] = map f [0..<j] @ map ( $\lambda x. \text{False}$ ) [j..<n]
  shows horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f [0..<n]) = horner-sum of-bool
  2 (map f [0..<j])
  proof -
    have horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f [0..<n]) = horner-sum of-bool
    2 (map f [0..<j]) + 2 ^ length [0..<j] * horner-sum of-bool 2 (map f [j..<n])
    using assms apply auto
    by (smt (verit) assms diff-le-self diff-zero le-add-same-cancel2 length-append
    length-map
      length-upd map-append upd-add-eq-append horner-sum-append)
    also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map f [0..<j]) + 2 ^ length [0..<j] *
    horner-sum of-bool 2 (map ( $\lambda x. \text{False}$ ) [j..<n])
    by (metis calculation horner-sum-append length-map assms)
    also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map f [0..<j])
    using zero-horner mult-not-zero by auto
    finally show ?thesis
    by simp
  qed

lemma split-horner:
  assumes  $j \leq n$ 
  assumes  $\forall i. j \leq i \rightarrow \neg(f i)$ 
  shows horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f [0..<n]) = horner-sum of-bool
  2 (map f [0..<j])
  by (auto simp: assms zero-map map-join-horner)

lemma transfer-map:
  assumes  $\forall i. i < n \rightarrow f i = f' i$ 
  shows (map f [0..<n]) = (map f' [0..<n])
  by (simp add: assms)

lemma transfer-horner:
  assumes  $\forall i. i < n \rightarrow f i = f' i$ 

```

```

shows horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f [0..<n]) = horner-sum of-bool
2 (map f' [0..<n])
by (smt (verit, best) assms transfer-map)

```

lemma L1:

```
assumes n = 64 - numberOfLeadingZeros (↑z)
```

```
assumes [m, p] ⊢ z ↦ IntVal b zv
```

```
shows and v zv = and (v mod 2^n) zv
```

proof –

```
have nle: n ≤ 64
```

```
using assms diff-le-self by blast
```

```
also have and v zv = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (bit (and v zv)) [0..<64])
```

```
by (metis size-word.rep-eq take-bit-length-eq horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit size64)
```

```
also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (λi. bit (and v zv) i) [0..<64])
```

```
by blast
```

```
also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (λi. ((bit v i) ∧ (bit zv i))) [0..<64])
```

```
by (metis bit-and-iff)
```

```
also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (λi. ((bit v i) ∧ (bit zv i))) [0..<n])
```

proof –

```
have ∀i. i ≥ n → ¬(bit zv i)
```

```
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def diff-less int-ops(6) leadingZerosAd-
dHighestOne assms
```

```
linorder-not-le nat-int-comparison(2) not-numeral-le-zero size64 zero-less-Suc
```

```
zerosAboveHighestOne not-may-implies-false)
```

```
then have ∀i. i ≥ n → ¬((bit v i) ∧ (bit zv i))
```

```
by auto
```

```
then show ?thesis using nle split-horner
```

```
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
```

qed

```
also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (λi. ((bit (v mod 2^n) i) ∧ (bit zv
i))) [0..<n])
```

proof –

```
have ∀i. i < n → bit (v mod 2^n) i = bit v i
```

```
by (metis bit-take-bit-iff take-bit-eq-mod)
```

```
then have ∀i. i < n → ((bit v i) ∧ (bit zv i)) = ((bit (v mod 2^n) i) ∧ (bit
zv i))
```

```
by force
```

```
then show ?thesis
```

```
by (rule transfer-horner)
```

qed

```
also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (λi. ((bit (v mod 2^n) i) ∧ (bit zv
i))) [0..<64])
```

proof –

```
have ∀i. i ≥ n → ¬(bit zv i)
```

```
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def diff-less int-ops(6) leadingZerosAd-
dHighestOne assms
```

```
linorder-not-le nat-int-comparison(2) not-numeral-le-zero size64 zero-less-Suc
```

```

zerosAboveHighestOne not-may-implies-false)
then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) diff-le-self split-horner)
qed
also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (bit (and (v mod 2^n) zv)) [0..<64])
  by (meson bit-and-iff)
also have ... = and (v mod 2^n) zv
  by (metis size-word.rep-eq take-bit-length-eq horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit size64)
finally show ?thesis
  using <and (v::64 word) (zv::64 word) = horner-sum of-bool (2::64 word)
  (map (bit (and v zv)) [0::nat..<64::nat]) <horner-sum of-bool (2::64 word) (map
  (\lambda i:nat. bit ((v::64 word) mod (2::64 word) ^ (n::nat)) i ∧ bit (zv::64 word)
  i) [0::nat..<64::nat]) = horner-sum of-bool (2::64 word) (map (bit (and (v mod
  (2::64 word) ^ n) zv)) [0::nat..<64::nat]) <horner-sum of-bool (2::64 word) (map
  (\lambda i:nat. bit ((v::64 word) mod (2::64 word) ^ (n::nat)) i ∧ bit (zv::64 word) i)
  [0::nat..<n]) = horner-sum of-bool (2::64 word) (map (\lambda i:nat. bit (v mod (2::64
  word) ^ n) i ∧ bit zv i) [0::nat..<64::nat]) <horner-sum of-bool (2::64 word)
  (map (\lambda i:nat. bit (v::64 word) i ∧ bit (zv::64 word) i) [0::nat..<64::nat]) =
  horner-sum of-bool (2::64 word) (map (\lambda i:nat. bit v i ∧ bit zv i) [0::nat..<n::nat]),
  <horner-sum of-bool (2::64 word) (map (\lambda i:nat. bit (v::64 word) i ∧ bit (zv::64
  word) i) [0::nat..<n::nat]) = horner-sum of-bool (2::64 word) (map (\lambda i:nat. bit
  (v mod (2::64 word) ^ n) i ∧ bit zv i) [0::nat..<n]) <horner-sum of-bool (2::64
  word) (map (bit (and ((v::64 word) mod (2::64 word) ^ (n::nat)) (zv::64 word)))
  [0::nat..<64::nat]) = and (v mod (2::64 word) ^ n) zv <horner-sum of-bool (2::64
  word) (map (bit (and (v::64 word) (zv::64 word))) [0::nat..<64::nat]) = horner-sum
  of-bool (2::64 word) (map (\lambda i:nat. bit v i ∧ bit zv i) [0::nat..<64::nat]) by pres-
  burger
qed

lemma up-mask-upper-bound:
assumes [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ IntVal b xv
shows xv ≤ (↑x)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) and.right-neutral bit.conj-cancel-left bit.conj-disj-distrib(1)
bit.double-compl ucast-id up-spec word-and-le1 word-not-dist(2) assms)

lemma L2:
assumes numberOfLeadingZeros (↑z) + numberOfTrailingZeros (↑y) ≥ 64
assumes n = 64 - numberOfLeadingZeros (↑z)
assumes [m, p] ⊢ z ↦ IntVal b zv
assumes [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ IntVal b yv
shows yv mod 2^n = 0
proof -
have yv mod 2^n = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (bit yv) [0..<n])
  by (simp add: horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit take-bit-eq-mod)
also have ... ≤ horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (bit (↑y)) [0..<n])
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) and.right-neutral bit.conj-cancel-right word-not-dist(2)
bit.conj-disj-distrib(1) bit.double-compl horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit take-bit-and
ucast-id
up-spec word-and-le1 assms(4))

```

```

also have horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (bit ( $\uparrow$ y)) [0..<n]) = horner-sum of-bool 2
(map ( $\lambda$ x. False) [0..<n])
proof -
  have  $\forall i < n. \neg(\text{bit } (\uparrow y) i)$ 
  by (metis add.commute add-diff-inverse-nat add-lessD1 leD le-diff-conv zeros-
BelowLowestOne
  numberOfTrailingZeros-def assms(1,2))
then show ?thesis
  by (metis (full-types) transfer-map)
qed
also have horner-sum of-bool 2 (map ( $\lambda$ x. False) [0..<n]) = 0
  by (auto simp: zero-horner)
finally show ?thesis
  by auto
qed

```

thm-oracles L1 L2

```

lemma unfold-binary-width-add:
shows ([m,p]  $\vdash$  BinaryExpr BinAdd xe ye  $\mapsto$  IntVal b val) = ( $\exists$  x y.
  ([m,p]  $\vdash$  xe  $\mapsto$  IntVal b x)  $\wedge$ 
  ([m,p]  $\vdash$  ye  $\mapsto$  IntVal b y)  $\wedge$ 
  (IntVal b val = bin-eval BinAdd (IntVal b x) (IntVal b y))  $\wedge$ 
  (IntVal b val  $\neq$  UndefVal)
  )) (is ?L = ?R)
using unfold-binary-width by simp

```

```

lemma unfold-binary-width-and:
shows ([m,p]  $\vdash$  BinaryExpr BinAnd xe ye  $\mapsto$  IntVal b val) = ( $\exists$  x y.
  ([m,p]  $\vdash$  xe  $\mapsto$  IntVal b x)  $\wedge$ 
  ([m,p]  $\vdash$  ye  $\mapsto$  IntVal b y)  $\wedge$ 
  (IntVal b val = bin-eval BinAnd (IntVal b x) (IntVal b y))  $\wedge$ 
  (IntVal b val  $\neq$  UndefVal)
  )) (is ?L = ?R)
using unfold-binary-width by simp

```

```

lemma mod-dist-over-add-right:
fixes a b c :: int64
fixes n :: nat
assumes 0 < n
assumes n < 64
shows (a + b mod  $2^n$ ) mod  $2^n$  = (a + b) mod  $2^n$ 
using mod-dist-over-add by (simp add: assms add.commute)

```

```

lemma numberOfLeadingZeros-range:
0  $\leq$  numberOfLeadingZeros n  $\wedge$  numberOfLeadingZeros n  $\leq$  Nat.size n
by (simp add: leadingZeroBounds)

```

lemma improved-opt:

```

assumes numberOfLeadingZeros ( $\uparrow z$ ) + numberOfTrailingZeros ( $\uparrow y$ )  $\geq 64$ 
shows  $\exp[(x + y) \& z] \geq \exp[x \& z]$ 
apply simp apply ((rule allI)+; rule impI)
subgoal premises eval for m p v
proof -
  obtain n where n:  $n = 64 - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros} (\uparrow z)$ 
    by simp
  obtain b val where val:  $[m, p] \vdash \exp[(x + y) \& z] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ val}$ 
    by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval-new-int eval new-int.simps)
  then obtain xv yv where addv:  $[m, p] \vdash \exp[x + y] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b (xv + yv)$ 
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-and) by (metis add.right-neutral)
  then obtain yv where yv:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b yv$ 
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-add) by blast
  from addv obtain xv where xv:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b xv$ 
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-add) by blast
  from val obtain zv where zv:  $[m, p] \vdash z \mapsto \text{IntVal } b zv$ 
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-and) by blast
  have addv:  $[m, p] \vdash \exp[x + y] \mapsto \text{new-int } b (xv + yv)$ 
    using xv yv evaltree.BinaryExpr by auto
  have lhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \exp[(x + y) \& z] \mapsto \text{new-int } b (\text{and } (xv + yv) zv)$ 
    using addv zv apply (rule evaltree.BinaryExpr) by simp+
  have rhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \exp[x \& z] \mapsto \text{new-int } b (\text{and } xv zv)$ 
    using xv zv evaltree.BinaryExpr by auto
  then show ?thesis
proof (cases  $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros} (\uparrow z) > 0$ )
  case True
  have n-bounds:  $0 \leq n \wedge n < 64$ 
    by (simp add: True n)
  have and (xv + yv) zv = and ((xv + yv) mod  $2^{\hat{n}}$ ) zv
    using L1 n zv by blast
  also have ... = and ((xv + (yv mod  $2^{\hat{n}}$ )) mod  $2^{\hat{n}}$ ) zv
    by (metis take-bit-0 take-bit-eq-mod zero-less-iff-neq-zero mod-dist-over-add-right
n-bounds)
  also have ... = and (((xv mod  $2^{\hat{n}}$ ) + (yv mod  $2^{\hat{n}}$ )) mod  $2^{\hat{n}}$ ) zv
    by (metis bits-mod-by-1 mod-dist-over-add n-bounds order-le-imp-less-or-eq
power-0)
  also have ... = and ((xv mod  $2^{\hat{n}}$ ) mod  $2^{\hat{n}}$ ) zv
    using L2 n zv yv assms by auto
  also have ... = and (xv mod  $2^{\hat{n}}$ ) zv
    by (smt (verit, best) and.idem take-bit-eq-mask take-bit-eq-mod word-bw-assocs(1))

    mod-mod-trivial)
  also have ... = and xv zv
    by (metis L1 n zv)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet eval lhs rhs)
next
  case False
  then have  $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros} (\uparrow z) = 0$ 

```

```

    by simp
  then have numberOfTrailingZeros ( $\uparrow y$ )  $\geq 64$ 
    using assms by fastforce
  then have yv = 0
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) L1 L2 add-diff-cancel-left' and.comm-neutral
linorder-not-le
      bit.conj-cancel-right bit.conj-disj-distrib(1) bit.double-compl less-imp-diff-less
yv
      word-not-dist(2))
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis add.right-neutral eval evalDet lhs rhs)
qed
qed
done

```

thm-oracles *improved-opt*

end

phase *NewAnd*
terminating *size*
begin

optimization *redundant-lhs-y-or*: $((x \mid y) \& z) \mapsto x \& z$
when $((\text{and}(\text{IRExpr-up } y)(\text{IRExpr-up } z)) = 0)$
by (*simp add: IRExpr-up-def*)+

optimization *redundant-lhs-x-or*: $((x \mid y) \& z) \mapsto y \& z$
when $((\text{and}(\text{IRExpr-up } x)(\text{IRExpr-up } z)) = 0)$
by (*simp add: IRExpr-up-def*)+

optimization *redundant-rhs-y-or*: $(z \& (x \mid y)) \mapsto z \& x$
when $((\text{and}(\text{IRExpr-up } y)(\text{IRExpr-up } z)) = 0)$
by (*simp add: IRExpr-up-def*)+

optimization *redundant-rhs-x-or*: $(z \& (x \mid y)) \mapsto z \& y$
when $((\text{and}(\text{IRExpr-up } x)(\text{IRExpr-up } z)) = 0)$
by (*simp add: IRExpr-up-def*)+

end

end

1.8 NotNode Phase

```

theory NotPhase
imports
  Common
begin

phase NotNode
  terminating size
begin

lemma bin-not-cancel:
  bin[¬(¬(e))] = bin[e]
  by auto

lemma val-not-cancel:
  assumes val[¬(new-int b v)] ≠ UndefVal
  shows val[¬(¬(new-int b v))] = (new-int b v)
  by (simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit)

lemma exp-not-cancel:
  exp[¬(¬a)] ≥ exp[a]
  apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p x
  proof -
    obtain av where av: [m,p] ⊢ a ↦ av
      using p(2) by auto
    obtain bv avv where avv: av = IntVal bv avv
      by (metis Value.exhaust av evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-not.simps(3,4,5)
p(2,3))
    then have valEval: val[¬(¬av)] = val[av]
      by (metis av avv evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero new-int.elims p(2,3) val-not-cancel)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis av evalDet p(2))
  qed
  done
end

```

Optimisations

```

optimization NotCancel: exp[¬(¬a)] ↦ a
  by (metis exp-not-cancel)

end

```

1.9 OrNode Phase

```
theory OrPhase
imports
  Common
begin

context stamp-mask
begin
```

Taking advantage of the truth table of or operations.

#	x	y	$x y$
1	0	0	0
2	0	1	1
3	1	0	1
4	1	1	1

If row 2 never applies, that is, canBeZero x & canBeOne y = 0, then $(x|y) = x$.

Likewise, if row 3 never applies, canBeZero y & canBeOne x = 0, then $(x|y) = y$.

```
lemma OrLeftFallthrough:
assumes (and (not (↓x)) (↑y)) = 0
shows exp[x | y] ≥ exp[x]
using assms
apply simp apply ((rule allI)+; rule impI)
subgoal premises eval for m p v
proof -
  obtain b vv where e: [m, p] ⊢ exp[x | y] ↪ IntVal b vv
    by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval-new-int new-int.simps eval(2))
  from e obtain xv where xv: [m, p] ⊢ x ↪ IntVal b xv
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width) by force+
  from e obtain yv where yv: [m, p] ⊢ y ↪ IntVal b yv
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width) by force+
    have vdef: v = val[(IntVal b xv) | (IntVal b yv)]
      by (metis bin-eval.simps(7) eval(2) evalDet unfold-binary xv yv)
    have ∀ i. (bit xv i) | (bit yv i) = (bit xv i)
      by (metis assms bit-and-iff not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero xv yv)
    then have IntVal b xv = val[(IntVal b xv) | (IntVal b yv)]
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) and.idem assms bit.conj-disj-distrib eval-unused-bits-zero
yv xv
      intval-or.simps(1) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
      word-ao-absorbs(3))
  then show ?thesis
    using xv vdef by presburger
qed
```

done

```
lemma OrRightFallthrough:
assumes (and (not (↓y)) (↑x)) = 0
shows exp[x | y] ≥ exp[y]
using assms
apply simp apply ((rule allI)+; rule impI)
subgoal premises eval for m p v
proof -
  obtain b vv where e: [m, p] ⊢ exp[x | y] ↪ IntVal b vv
    by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval-new-int new-int.simps eval(2))
  from e obtain xv where xv: [m, p] ⊢ x ↪ IntVal b xv
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width) by force+
  from e obtain yv where yv: [m, p] ⊢ y ↪ IntVal b yv
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width) by force+
  have vdef: v = val[(IntVal b xv) | (IntVal b yv)]
    by (metis bin-eval.simps(7) eval(2) evalDet unfold-binary xv yv)
  have ∀ i. (bit xv i) | (bit yv i) = (bit yv i)
    by (metis assms bit-and-iff not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero xv yv)
  then have IntVal b yv = val[(IntVal b xv) | (IntVal b yv)]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms eval-unused-bits-zero intval-or.simps(1)
new-int.elims yv
      new-int-bin.elims stamp-mask.not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
      stamp-mask-axioms xv
      word-ao-absorbs(8))
  then show ?thesis
    using vdef yv by presburger
qed
done

end

phase OrNode
  terminating size
begin

lemma bin-or-equal:
  bin[x | x] = bin[x]
  by simp

lemma bin-shift-const-right-helper:
  x | y = y | x
  by simp

lemma bin-or-not-operands:
  (¬x | ¬y) = (¬(x & y))
  by simp
```

```

lemma val-or-equal:
  assumes x = new-int b v
  and   val[x | x] ≠ UndefVal
  shows  val[x | x] = val[x]
  by (auto simp: assms)

lemma val-elim-redundant-false:
  assumes x = new-int b v
  and   val[x | false] ≠ UndefVal
  shows  val[x | false] = val[x]
  using assms by (cases x; auto; presburger)

lemma val-shift-const-right-helper:
  val[x | y] = val[y | x]
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: or.commute)

lemma val-or-not-operands:
  val[~x | ~y] = val[~(x & y)]
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: take-bit-not-take-bit)

lemma exp-or-equal:
  exp[x | x] ≥ exp[x]
  apply auto[1]
  subgoal premises p for m p xa ya
  proof-
    obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
    using p(1) by auto
    obtain xb xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal xb xvv
    by (metis evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-is-null.cases intval-or.simps(3,4,5)
p(1,3) xv)
    then have evalNotUndef: val[xv | xv] ≠ UndefVal
    using p evalDet xv by blast
    then have orUnfold: val[xv | xv] = (new-int xb (or xvv xvv))
    by (simp add: xvv)
    then have simplify: val[xv | xv] = (new-int xb (xvv))
    by (simp add: orUnfold)
    then have eq: (xv) = (new-int xb (xvv))
    using eval-unused-bits-zero xv xvv by auto
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet p(1,2) simplify xv)
  qed
  done

lemma exp-elim-redundant-false:
  exp[x | false] ≥ exp[x]
  apply auto[1]
  subgoal premises p for m p xa

```

```

proof-
  obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
    using p(1) by auto
  obtain xb xxv where xxv: xv = IntVal xb xxv
    by (metis evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-is-null.cases intval-or.simps(3,4,5)
p(1,2) xv)
  then have evalNotUndef: val[xv | (IntVal 32 0)] ≠ UndefVal
    using p evalDet xv by blast
  then have widthSame: xb=32
    by (metis intval-or.simps(1) new-int-bin.simps xxv)
  then have orUnfold: val[xv | (IntVal 32 0)] = (new-int xb (or xxv 0))
    by (simp add: xxv)
  then have simplify: val[xv | (IntVal 32 0)] = (new-int xb (xxv))
    by (simp add: orUnfold)
  then have eq: (xv) = (new-int xb (xxv))
    using eval-unused-bits-zero xv xxv by auto
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet p(1) simplify xv)
qed
done

```

Optimisations

```

optimization OrEqual: x | x ↦ x
  by (meson exp-or-equal)

optimization OrShiftConstantRight: ((const x) | y) ↦ y | (const x) when ¬(is-ConstantExpr y)
  using size-flip-binary by (auto simp: BinaryExpr unfold-const val-shift-const-right-helper)

optimization EliminateRedundantFalse: x | false ↦ x
  by (meson exp-elim-redundant-false)

optimization OrNotOperands: (¬x | ¬y) ↦ ¬(x & y)
  apply (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-SucI not-add-less1 not-less-eq size-binary-const
size-non-add)
  using BinaryExpr UnaryExpr bin-eval.simps(4) intval-not.simps(2) unary-eval.simps(3)

  val-or-not-operands by fastforce

optimization OrLeftFallthrough:
  x | y ↦ x when ((and (not (IREExpr-down x)) (IREExpr-up y))) = 0
  using simple-mask.OrLeftFallthrough by blast

optimization OrRightFallthrough:
  x | y ↦ y when ((and (not (IREExpr-down y)) (IREExpr-up x))) = 0
  using simple-mask.OrRightFallthrough by blast

end

```

```
end
```

1.10 ShiftNode Phase

```
theory ShiftPhase
imports
  Common
begin

phase ShiftNode
  terminating size
begin

fun intval-log2 :: Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-log2 (IntVal b v) = IntVal b (word-of-int (SOME e. v=2^e)) |
  intval-log2 - = UndefVal

fun in-bounds :: Value ⇒ int ⇒ int ⇒ bool where
  in-bounds (IntVal b v) l h = (l < sint v ∧ sint v < h) |
  in-bounds - l h = False

lemma
  assumes in-bounds (intval-log2 val-c) 0 32
  shows val[x << (intval-log2 val-c)] = val[x * val-c]
  apply (cases val-c; auto) using intval-left-shift.simps(1) intval-mul.simps(1)
  intval-log2.simps(1)
  sorry

lemma e-intval:
  n = intval-log2 val-c ∧ in-bounds n 0 32 →
  val[x << (intval-log2 val-c)] = val[x * val-c]
proof (rule impI)
  assume n = intval-log2 val-c ∧ in-bounds n 0 32
  show val[x << (intval-log2 val-c)] = val[x * val-c]
  proof (cases ∃ v . val-c = IntVal 32 v)
    case True
    obtain vc where val-c = IntVal 32 vc
      using True by blast
    then have n = IntVal 32 (word-of-int (SOME e. vc=2^e))
      using ‹n = intval-log2 val-c ∧ in-bounds n 0 32› intval-log2.simps(1) by
      presburger
    then show ?thesis sorry
  next
    case False
    then have ∃ v . val-c = IntVal 64 v
      sorry
    then obtain vc where val-c = IntVal 64 vc
      by auto
```

```

then have  $n = \text{IntVal } 64 (\text{word-of-int } (\text{SOME } e. \text{vc} = 2^e))$ 
  using ⟨ $n = \text{intval-log2 } val-c \wedge \text{in-bounds } n \ 0 \ 32$ ⟩  $\text{intval-log2.simps}(1)$  by
presburger
  then show ?thesis sorry
qed
qed

optimization  $e$ :
 $x * (\text{const } c) \longmapsto x << (\text{const } n)$  when  $(n = \text{intval-log2 } c \wedge \text{in-bounds } n \ 0 \ 32)$ 
using  $e\text{-intval BinaryExprE ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps}(2,7)$  sorry
end
end

```

1.11 SignedDivNode Phase

```

theory SignedDivPhase
imports
  Common
begin

phase SignedDivNode
  terminating size
begin

lemma val-division-by-one-is-self-32:
assumes  $x = \text{new-int } 32 v$ 
shows  $\text{intval-div } x (\text{IntVal } 32 1) = x$ 
using assms apply (cases  $x$ ; auto)
by (simp add: take-bit-signed-take-bit)

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

1.12 SignedRemNode Phase

```

theory SignedRemPhase
imports
  Common
begin

phase SignedRemNode
  terminating size
begin

```

```

lemma val-remainder-one:
  assumes intval-mod x (IntVal 32 1) ≠ UndefVal
  shows intval-mod x (IntVal 32 1) = IntVal 32 0
  using assms apply (cases x; auto) sorry

value word-of-int (sint (x2::32 word) smod 1)

end

end

```

1.13 SubNode Phase

```

theory SubPhase
  imports
    Common
    Proofs.StampEvalThms
  begin

  phase SubNode
    terminating size
  begin

    lemma bin-sub-after-right-add:
      shows ((x::('a::len) word) + (y::('a::len) word)) - y = x
      by simp

    lemma sub-self-is-zero:
      shows (x::('a::len) word) - x = 0
      by simp

    lemma bin-sub-then-left-add:
      shows (x::('a::len) word) - (x + (y::('a::len) word)) = -y
      by simp

    lemma bin-sub-then-left-sub:
      shows (x::('a::len) word) - (x - (y::('a::len) word)) = y
      by simp

    lemma bin-subtract-zero:
      shows (x :: 'a::len word) - (0 :: 'a::len word) = x
      by simp

    lemma bin-sub-negative-value:
      (x :: ('a::len) word) - (-(y :: ('a::len) word)) = x + y
      by simp

```

```

lemma bin-sub-self-is-zero:
   $(x :: ('a::len) word) - x = 0$ 
  by simp

lemma bin-sub-negative-const:
   $(x :: 'a::len word) - (- (y :: 'a::len word)) = x + y$ 
  by simp

lemma val-sub-after-right-add-2:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b v$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[(x + y) - y] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[(x + y) - y] = x$ 
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (metis (full-types) intval-sub.simps(2))

lemma val-sub-after-left-sub:
  assumes  $\text{val}[(x - y) - x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[(x - y) - x] = \text{val}[-y]$ 
  using assms intval-sub.elims apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by fastforce

lemma val-sub-then-left-sub:
  assumes  $y = \text{new-int } b v$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[x - (x - y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x - (x - y)] = y$ 
  using assms apply (cases x; auto)
  by (metis (mono-tags) intval-sub.simps(6))

lemma val-subtract-zero:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b v$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[x - (\text{IntVal } b 0)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x - (\text{IntVal } b 0)] = x$ 
  by (cases x; simp add: assms)

lemma val-zero-subtract-value:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b v$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[(\text{IntVal } b 0) - x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[(\text{IntVal } b 0) - x] = \text{val}[-x]$ 
  by (cases x; simp add: assms)

lemma val-sub-then-left-add:
  assumes  $\text{val}[x - (x + y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x - (x + y)] = \text{val}[-y]$ 
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) intval-sub.simps(6))

lemma val-sub-negative-value:

```

```

assumes val[x - (-y)] ≠ UndefVal
shows val[x - (-y)] = val[x + y]
by (cases x; cases y; simp add: assms)

lemma val-sub-self-is-zero:
assumes x = new-int b v ∧ val[x - x] ≠ UndefVal
shows val[x - x] = new-int b 0
by (cases x; simp add: assms)

lemma val-sub-negative-const:
assumes y = new-int b v ∧ val[x - (-y)] ≠ UndefVal
shows val[x - (-y)] = val[x + y]
by (cases x; simp add: assms)

lemma exp-sub-after-right-add:
shows exp[(x + y) - y] ≥ x
apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p ya xa yaa
proof-
  obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
  using p(3) by auto
  obtain yv where yv: [m,p] ⊢ y ↦ yv
  using p(1) by auto
  obtain xb xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal xb xvv
  by (metis Value.exhaust evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-add.simps(3,4,5)
intval-sub.simps(2)
p(2,3) xv)
  obtain yb yvv where yvv: yv = IntVal yb yvv
  by (metis evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-add.simps(7,8,9) intval-logic-negation.cases
yv
intval-sub.simps(2) p(2,4))
  then have lhsDefined: val[(xv + yv) - yv] ≠ UndefVal
  using xvv yvv apply (cases xv; cases yv; auto)
  by (metis evalDet intval-add.simps(1) p(3,4,5) xv yv)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis ‐thesis. (‐(xb) xvv. (xv) = IntVal xb xvv ==> thesis) ==> thesis)
evalDet xv yv
eval-unused-bits-zero lhsDefined new-int.simps p(1,3,4) val-sub-after-right-add-2)
qed
done

lemma exp-sub-after-right-add2:
shows exp[(x + y) - x] ≥ y
using exp-sub-after-right-add apply auto
by (metis bin-eval.simps(1,2) intval-add-sym unfold-binary)

lemma exp-sub-negative-value:
exp[x - (-y)] ≥ exp[x + y]

```

```

apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p xa ya
proof -
  obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
    using p(1) by auto
  obtain yv where yv: [m,p] ⊢ y ↦ yv
    using p(3) by auto
  then have rhsEval: [m,p] ⊢ exp[x + y] ↦ val[xv + yv]
    by (metis bin-eval.simps(1) evalDet p(1,2,3) unfold-binary val-sub-negative-value
xv)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet p(1,2,3) val-sub-negative-value xv yv)
qed
done

lemma exp-sub-then-left-sub:
exp[x - (x - y)] ≥ y
using val-sub-then-left-sub apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p xa xaa ya
proof -
  obtain xa where xa: [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ xa
    using p(2) by blast
  obtain ya where ya: [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ ya
    using p(5) by auto
  obtain xaa where xaa: [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ xaa
    using p(2) by blast
  have 1: val[xa - (xa - ya)] ≠ UndefVal
    by (metis evalDet p(2,3,4,5) xa xaa ya)
  then have val[xaa - ya] ≠ UndefVal
    by auto
  then have [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ val[xa - (xa - ya)]
    by (metis 1 Value.exhaust eval-unused-bits-zero evaltree-not-undef xa xaa ya
new-int.simps
      interval-sub.simps(6,7,8,9) evalDet val-sub-then-left-sub)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet p(2,4,5) xa xaa ya)
qed
done

```

thm-oracles *exp-sub-then-left-sub*

```

lemma SubtractZero-Exp:
exp[(x - (const IntVal b 0))] ≥ x
apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p xa
proof -
  obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
    using p(1) by auto
  obtain xb xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal xb xvv

```

```

by (metis array-length.cases evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-sub.simps(3,4,5)
p(1,2) xv)
then have widthSame: xb=b
  by (metis evalDet intval-sub.simps(1) new-int-bin.simps p(1) p(2) xv)
then have unfoldSub: val[xv - (IntVal b 0)] = (new-int xb (xvv-0))
  by (simp add: xvv)
then have rhsSame: val[xv] = (new-int xb (xvv))
  using eval-unused-bits-zero xv xvv by auto
then show ?thesis
  by (metis diff-zero evalDet p(1) unfoldSub xv)
qed
done

lemma ZeroSubtractValue-Exp:
assumes wf-stamp x
assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b lo hi
assumes ¬(is-ConstantExpr x)
shows exp[(const IntVal b 0) - x] ≥ exp[-x]
using assms apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p xa
proof-
  obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
    using p(4) by auto
  obtain xb xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal xb xvv
    by (metis constantAsStamp.cases evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-sub.simps(7,8,9)
p(4,5) xv)
  then have unfoldSub: val[(IntVal b 0) - xv] = (new-int xb (0-xvv))
    by (metis intval-sub.simps(1) new-int-bin.simps p(1,2) valid-int-same-bits
wf-stamp-def xv)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis UnaryExpr intval-negate.simps(1) p(4,5) unary-eval.simps(2)
verit-minus-simplify(3)
evalDet xv xvv)
qed
done

```

Optimisations

```

optimization SubAfterAddRight: ((x + y) - y) ↦ x
using exp-sub-after-right-add by blast

optimization SubAfterAddLeft: ((x + y) - x) ↦ y
using exp-sub-after-right-add2 by blast

optimization SubAfterSubLeft: ((x - y) - x) ↦ -y
by (smt (verit) Suc-lessI add-2-eq-Suc' add-less-cancel-right less-trans-Suc not-add-less1
evalDet
size-binary-const size-binary-lhs size-binary-rhs size-non-add BinaryExprE
bin-eval.simps(2)
le-expr-def unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-sub-after-left-sub)+
```

```

optimization SubThenAddLeft:  $(x - (x + y)) \mapsto -y$ 
  apply auto
  by (metis evalDet unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-sub-then-left-add)

optimization SubThenAddRight:  $(y - (x + y)) \mapsto -x$ 
  apply auto
  by (metis evalDet intval-add-sym unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-sub-then-left-add)

optimization SubThenSubLeft:  $(x - (x - y)) \mapsto y$ 
  using size-simps exp-sub-then-left-sub by auto

optimization SubtractZero:  $(x - (\text{const IntVal } b \ 0)) \mapsto x$ 
  using SubtractZero-Exp by fast

thm-oracles SubtractZero

optimization SubNegativeValue:  $(x - (-y)) \mapsto x + y$ 
  apply (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-SucI less-add-Suc1 not-less-eq size-binary-const
size-non-add)
  using exp-sub-negative-value by blast

thm-oracles SubNegativeValue

lemma negate-idempotent:
  assumes  $x = \text{IntVal } b \ v \wedge \text{take-bit } b \ v = v$ 
  shows  $x = \text{val}[-(-x)]$ 
  by (auto simp: assms is-IntVal-def)

optimization ZeroSubtractValue:  $((\text{const IntVal } b \ 0) - x) \mapsto (-x)$ 
  when ( $\text{wf-stamp } x \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ lo \ hi \wedge \neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } x)$ )
  using size-flip-binary ZeroSubtractValue-Exp by simp+

optimization SubSelfIsZero:  $(x - x) \mapsto \text{const IntVal } b \ 0$  when
   $(\text{wf-stamp } x \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ lo \ hi)$ 
  using size-non-const apply auto
  by (smt (verit) wf-value-def ConstantExpr eval-bits-1-64 eval-unused-bits-zero
new-int.simps
  take-bit-of-0 val-sub-self-is-zero validDefIntConst valid-int wf-stamp-def One-nat-def
evalDet)

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

1.14 XorNode Phase

```
theory XorPhase
imports
  Common
  Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin

phase XorNode
terminating size
begin

lemma bin-xor-self-is-false:
bin[x ⊕ x] = 0
by simp

lemma bin-xor-commute:
bin[x ⊕ y] = bin[y ⊕ x]
by (simp add: xor.commute)

lemma bin-eliminate-redundant-false:
bin[x ⊕ 0] = bin[x]
by simp

lemma val-xor-self-is-false:
assumes val[x ⊕ x] ≠ UndefVal
shows val-to-bool (val[x ⊕ x]) = False
by (cases x; auto simp: assms)

lemma val-xor-self-is-false-2:
assumes val[x ⊕ x] ≠ UndefVal
and x = IntVal 32 v
shows val[x ⊕ x] = bool-to-val False
by (auto simp: assms)

lemma val-xor-self-is-false-3:
assumes val[x ⊕ x] ≠ UndefVal ∧ x = IntVal 64 v
shows val[x ⊕ x] = IntVal 64 0
by (auto simp: assms)

lemma val-xor-commute:
```

$\text{val}[x \oplus y] = \text{val}[y \oplus x]$
by (cases x ; cases y ; auto simp: xor.commute)

lemma *val-eliminate-redundant-false*:
assumes $x = \text{new-int } b v$
assumes $\text{val}[x \oplus (\text{bool-to-val } \text{False})] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x \oplus (\text{bool-to-val } \text{False})] = x$
using *assms* **by** (auto; meson)

lemma *exp-xor-self-is-false*:
assumes $\text{wf-stamp } x \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{default-stamp}$
shows $\text{exp}[x \oplus x] \geq \text{exp}[\text{false}]$
using *assms* **apply** auto
subgoal premises p **for** $m p xa ya$
proof –
obtain xv **where** $xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$
using $p(3)$ **by** auto
obtain xb xvv **where** $xvv: xv = \text{IntVal } xb \text{ } xvv$
by (metis Value.exhaust-sel assms evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-xor.simps(5,7)
 $p(3,4,5)$ xv
valid-value.simps(11) **wf-stamp-def**)
then have *unfoldXor*: $\text{val}[xv \oplus xv] = (\text{new-int } xb \text{ } (\text{xor } xvv \text{ } xvv))$
by simp
then have *isZero*: $\text{xor } xvv \text{ } xvv = 0$
by simp
then have *width*: $xb = 32$
by (metis valid-int-same-bits xv xvv $p(1,2)$ **wf-stamp-def**)
then have *isFalse*: $\text{val}[xv \oplus xv] = \text{bool-to-val } \text{False}$
unfolding *unfoldXor* *isZero* *width* **by** fastforce
then show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting) eval-bits-1-64 $p(3,4)$ *width* xv xvv *validDefIntConst*
IntVal0
Value.inject(1) **bool-to-val.simps(2)** evalDet *new-int.simps* *unfold-const*
wf-value-def)
qed
done

lemma *exp-eliminate-redundant-false*:
shows $\text{exp}[x \oplus \text{false}] \geq \text{exp}[x]$
using *val-eliminate-redundant-false* **apply** auto
subgoal premises p **for** $m p xa$
proof –
obtain xa **where** $xa: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xa$
using $p(2)$ **by** blast
then have $\text{val}[xa \oplus (\text{IntVal } 32 \text{ } 0)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
using evalDet $p(2,3)$ **by** blast
then have $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{val}[xa \oplus (\text{IntVal } 32 \text{ } 0)]$
using eval-unused-bits-zero xa **by** (cases xa ; auto)

```

then show ?thesis
  using evalDet p(2) xa by blast
qed
done

Optimisations

optimization XorSelfIsFalse:  $(x \oplus x) \mapsto \text{false}$  when
   $(\text{wf-stamp } x \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{default-stamp})$ 
using size-non-const exp-xor-self-is-false by auto

optimization XorShiftConstantRight:  $((\text{const } x) \oplus y) \mapsto y \oplus (\text{const } x)$  when
   $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$ 
using size-flip-binary val-xor-commute by auto

optimization EliminateRedundantFalse:  $(x \oplus \text{false}) \mapsto x$ 
  using exp-eliminate-redundant-false by auto

end
end

```

1.15 NegateNode Phase

```

theory NegatePhase
imports
  Common
begin

phase NegateNode
  terminating size
begin

lemma bin-negative-cancel:
   $-1 * (-1 * ((x::('a::len) word))) = x$ 
  by auto

lemma val-negative-cancel:
  assumes val[-(new-int b v)] ≠ UndefVal
  shows val[-(-(new-int b v))] = val[new-int b v]
  by simp

lemma val-distribute-sub:
  assumes x ≠ UndefVal ∧ y ≠ UndefVal

```

```

shows  val[-(x - y)] = val[y - x]
by (cases x; cases y; auto)

```

lemma *exp-distribute-sub*:

```

shows exp[-(x - y)] ≥ exp[y - x]
by (auto simp: val-distribute-sub evaltree-not-undef)

```

thm-oracles *exp-distribute-sub*

lemma *exp-negative-cancel*:

```

shows exp[-(-x)] ≥ exp[x]
apply auto
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) eval-unused-bits-zero intval-negate.elims new-int.simps
      intval-negate.simps(1) minus-equation-iff take-bit-dist-neg)

```

lemma *exp-negative-shift*:

```

assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b' lo hi
and unat y = (b' - 1)
shows exp[-(x >> (const (new-int b y)))] ≥ exp[x >>> (const (new-int b y))]
apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p xa
proof -
  obtain xa where xa: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xa
  using p(2) by auto
  then have 1: val[-(xa >> (IntVal b (take-bit b y)))] ≠ UndefVal
  using evalDet p(1,2) by blast
  then have 2: val[xa >> (IntVal b (take-bit b y))] ≠ UndefVal
  by auto
  then have 4: sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b y)) < (2::int)
  ^ b div (2::int)
  by (metis Suc-le-lessD Suc-pred eval-bits-1-64 int-power-div-base p(4) zero-less-numeral
       signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word size64 unfold-const wsst-TYs(3))
  then have 5: (0::nat) < b
  using eval-bits-1-64 p(4) by blast
  then have 6: b ⊑ (64::nat)
  using eval-bits-1-64 p(4) by blast
  then have 7: [m,p] ⊢ BinaryExpr BinURightShift x
    (ConstantExpr (IntVal b (take-bit b y))) →
    intval-negate (intval-right-shift xa (IntVal b (take-bit b y)))
  apply (cases y; auto)

```

subgoal premises p for n

proof -

```

have sg1: y = word-of-nat n
  by (simp add: p(1))
then have sg2: n < (18446744073709551616::nat)
  by (simp add: p(2))
then have sg3: b ⊑ (64::nat)

```

```

    by (simp add: 6)
then have sg4:  $[m,p] \vdash \text{BinaryExpr} \text{BinURightShift } x$ 
    ( $\text{ConstantExpr} (\text{IntVal } b (\text{take-bit } b (\text{word-of-nat } n))) \mapsto$ 
      $\text{intval-negate} (\text{intval-right-shift } xa (\text{IntVal } b (\text{take-bit } b (\text{word-of-nat } n))))$ )
sorry
then show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
done
then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet p(2) xa)
qed
done

```

Optimisations

```

optimization NegateCancel:  $-(-(x)) \mapsto x$ 
using exp-negative-cancel by blast

```

```

optimization DistributeSubtraction:  $-(x - y) \mapsto (y - x)$ 
apply (smt (verit, best) add.left-commute add-2-eq-Suc' add-diff-cancel-left' is-ConstantExpr-def
    less-Suc-eq-0-disj plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-binary-const size-non-add
    zero-less-diff exp-distribute-sub nat-add-left-cancel-less less-add-eq-less
    add-Suc lessI
    trans-less-add2 size-binary-rhs Suc-eq-plus1 Nat.add-0-right old.nat.inject
    zero-less-Suc)
using exp-distribute-sub by simp

```

```

optimization NegativeShift:  $-(x >> (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \ y))) \mapsto x >>> (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \ y))$ 
    when ( $\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b' \ lo \ hi \wedge \text{unat } y$ 
     $= (b' - 1))$ 
using exp-negative-shift by simp

```

end

end

```

theory TacticSolving
    imports Common
begin

```

```

fun size :: IRExpr  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
    size (UnaryExpr op e) = (size e) * 2 |
    size (BinaryExpr BinAdd x y) = (size x) + ((size y) * 2) |
    size (BinaryExpr op x y) = (size x) + (size y) |
    size (ConditionalExpr cond t f) = (size cond) + (size t) + (size f) + 2 |
    size (ConstantExpr c) = 1 |

```

```

size (ParameterExpr ind s) = 2 |
size (LeafExpr nid s) = 2 |
size (ConstantVar c) = 2 |
size (VariableExpr x s) = 2

lemma size-pos[simp]: 0 < size y
  apply (induction y; auto?)
  subgoal premises prems for op a b
    using prems by (induction op; auto)
  done

phase TacticSolving
  terminating size
begin

```

1.16 AddNode

```

lemma value-approx-implies-refinement:
  assumes lhs ≈ rhs
  assumes ∀ m p v. ([m, p] ⊢ elhs ↪ v) → v = lhs
  assumes ∀ m p v. ([m, p] ⊢ erhs ↪ v) → v = rhs
  assumes ∀ m p v1 v2. ([m, p] ⊢ elhs ↪ v1) → ([m, p] ⊢ erhs ↪ v2)
  shows elhs ≥ erhs
  by (metis assms(4) le-expr-def evaltree-not-undef)

method explore-cases for x y :: Value =
  (cases x; cases y; auto)

method explore-cases-bin for x :: IRExpr =
  (cases x; auto)

method obtain-approx-eq for lhs rhs x y :: Value =
  (rule meta-mp[where P=lhs ≈ rhs], defer-tac, explore-cases x y)

method obtain-eval for exp::IRExpr and val::Value =
  (rule meta-mp[where P=Λm p v. ([m, p] ⊢ exp ↪ v) ⇒ v = val], defer-tac)

method solve for lhs rhs x y :: Value =
  (match conclusion in size - < size - ⇒ ⟨simp⟩)?
  (match conclusion in (elhs::IRExpr) ≥ (erhs::IRExpr) for elhs erhs ⇒ ⟨
    (obtain-approx-eq lhs rhs x y)⟩)

```

print-methods

```

thm BinaryExprE
optimization opt-add-left-negate-to-sub:
-x + y ↪ y - x

apply (solve val[-x1 + y1] val[y1 - x1] x1 y1)

```

```
apply simp apply auto using evaltree-not-undef sorry
```

1.17 NegateNode

```
lemma val-distribute-sub:
  val[-(x-y)] ≈ val[y-x]
  by (cases x; cases y; auto)
```

```
optimization distribute-sub: -(x-y) ↪ (y-x)
  using val-distribute-sub unfold-binary unfold-unary by auto
```

```
lemma val-xor-self-is-false:
  assumes x = IntVal 32 v
  shows val[x ⊕ x] ≈ val[false]
  by (cases x; auto simp: assms)
```

```
definition wf-stamp :: IRExpr ⇒ bool where
  wf-stamp e = (forall m p v. ([m, p] ⊢ e ↪ v) → valid-value v (stamp-expr e))
```

```
lemma exp-xor-self-is-false:
  assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp 32 l h
  assumes wf-stamp x
  shows exp[x ⊕ x] >= exp[false]
  by (smt (z3) wf-value-def bin-eval.simps(8) bin-eval-new-int constantAsStamp.simps(1)
evalDet
    int-signed-value-bounds new-int.simps new-int-take-bits unfold-binary unfold-const valid-int
    valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1) well-formed-equal-defn val-xor-self-is-false
    le-expr-def assms wf-stamp-def)
```

```
lemma val-or-commute[simp]:
  val[x | y] = val[y | x]
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: or.commute)
```

```
lemma val-xor-commute[simp]:
  val[x ⊕ y] = val[y ⊕ x]
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: word-bw-comm(3))
```

```
lemma val-and-commute[simp]:
  val[x & y] = val[y & x]
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: word-bw-comm(1))
```

```
lemma exp-or-commutative:
  exp[x | y] ≥ exp[y | x]
  by auto
```

```
lemma exp-xor-commutative:
  exp[x ⊕ y] ≥ exp[y ⊕ x]
```

by auto

lemma *exp-and-commutative*:
 $\exp[x \& y] \geq \exp[y \& x]$
by auto

— — New Optimisations - submitted and added into Graal —

lemma *OrInverseVal*:
assumes $n = \text{IntVal } 32 v$
shows $\text{val}[n \mid \sim n] \approx \text{new-int } 32 (-1)$
apply (auto simp: assms)
by (metis bit.disj-cancel-right mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one take-bit-or)

optimization *OrInverse*: $\exp[n \mid \sim n] \mapsto (\text{const } (\text{new-int } 32 (\text{not } 0)))$
when (*stamp-expr* $n = \text{IntegerStamp } 32 l h \wedge \text{wf-stamp } n$)
apply (auto simp: Suc-lessI)
subgoal premises p for $m p xa xaa$
proof –
obtain nv where $nv: [m,p] \vdash n \mapsto nv$
using $p(3)$ by auto
obtain $nbits$ nvv where $nvv: nv = \text{IntVal } nbits nv$
by (metis evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-logic-negation.cases intval-not.simps(3,4,5))
 nv
 $p(5,6))$
then have $width: nbits = 32$
by (metis Value.inject(1) $nv p(1,2)$ valid-int wf-stamp-def)
then have $stamp: \text{constantAsStamp } (\text{IntVal } 32 (\text{mask } 32)) =$
 $(\text{IntegerStamp } 32 (\text{int-signed-value } 32 (\text{mask } 32)) (\text{int-signed-value } 32 (\text{mask } 32)))$
by auto
have $wf: \text{wf-value } (\text{IntVal } 32 (\text{mask } 32))$
unfolding wf-value-def stamp apply auto by eval+
then have $unfoldOr: \text{val}[nv \mid \sim nv] = (\text{new-int } 32 (\text{or } (\text{not } nv) nv))$
using intval-or.simps OrInverseVal $nvv width$ by auto
then have $eq: \text{val}[nv \mid \sim nv] = \text{new-int } 32 (\text{not } 0)$
by (simp add: unfoldOr)
then show ?thesis
by (metis bit.compl-zero evalDet local.wf new-int.elims $nv p(3,5)$ take-bit-minus-one-eq-mask
unfold-const)
qed
done

optimization *OrInverse2*: $\exp[\sim n \mid n] \mapsto (\text{const } (\text{new-int } 32 (\text{not } 0)))$
when (*stamp-expr* $n = \text{IntegerStamp } 32 l h \wedge \text{wf-stamp } n$)
using *OrInverse exp-or-commutative* by auto

lemma *XorInverseVal*:
assumes $n = \text{IntVal } 32 v$
shows $\text{val}[n \oplus \sim n] \approx \text{new-int } 32 (-1)$

```

apply (auto simp: assms)
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) bit.compl-zero bit.xor-compl-right bit.xor-self
take-bit-xor
mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one)

optimization XorInverse: exp[n ⊕ ~n]  $\mapsto$  (const (new-int 32 (not 0)))
  when (stamp-expr n = IntegerStamp 32 l h ∧ wf-stamp n)
apply (auto simp: Suc-lessI)
subgoal premises p for m p xa xaa
proof-
  obtain xv where xv: [m,p] ⊢ n  $\mapsto$  xv
    using p(3) by auto
  obtain xb xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal xb xvv
    by (metis evalDet evaltree-not-undef intval-logic-negation.cases intval-not.simps(3,4,5)
xv
  p(5,6))
  have rhsDefined: [m,p] ⊢ (ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 (mask 32)))  $\mapsto$  (IntVal 32
(mask 32))
    by (metis ConstantExpr add.right-neutral add-less-cancel-left neg-one-value
numeral-Bit0
      new-int-unused-bits-zero not-numeral-less-zero validDefIntConst zero-less-numeral
      verit-comp-simplify1(3) wf-value-def)
  have w32: xb=32
    by (metis Value.inject(1) p(1,2) valid-int xv xvv wf-stamp-def)
  then have unfoldNot: val[~xv] = new-int xb (not xvv)
    by (simp add: xvv)
  have unfoldXor: val[xv ⊕ (~xv)] =
    (if xb=xb then (new-int xb (xor xvv (not xvv))) else UndefVal)
    using intval-xor.simps(1) XorInverseVal w32 xvv by auto
  then have rhs: val[xv ⊕ (~xv)] = new-int 32 (mask 32)
    using unfoldXor w32 by auto
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet neg-one.elims neg-one-value p(3,5) rhsDefined xv)
qed
done

optimization XorInverse2: exp[(~n) ⊕ n]  $\mapsto$  (const (new-int 32 (not 0)))
  when (stamp-expr n = IntegerStamp 32 l h ∧ wf-stamp n)
using XorInverse exp-xor-commutative by auto

lemma AndSelfVal:
assumes n = IntVal 32 v
shows val[~n & n] = new-int 32 0
apply (auto simp: assms)
by (metis take-bit-and take-bit-of-0 word-and-not)

optimization AndSelf: exp[(~n) & n]  $\mapsto$  (const (new-int 32 (0)))
  when (stamp-expr n = IntegerStamp 32 l h ∧ wf-stamp n)
apply (auto simp: Suc-lessI) unfolding size.simps

```

```

by (metis (no-types) val-and-commute ConstantExpr IntVal0 Value.inject(1)
evalDet wf-stamp-def
eval-bits-1-64 new-int.simps validDefIntConst valid-int wf-value-def AndSelf-
Val)

optimization AndSelf2: exp[n & (~n)]  $\longmapsto$  (const (new-int 32 (0)))
when (stamp-expr n = IntegerStamp 32 l h  $\wedge$  wf-stamp n)
using AndSelf exp-and-commutative by auto

lemma NotXorToXorVal:
assumes x = IntVal 32 xv
assumes y = IntVal 32 yv
shows val[(~x)  $\oplus$  (~y)] = val[x  $\oplus$  y]
apply (auto simp: assms)
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) bit.xor-compl-left bit.xor-compl-right take-bit-xor
word-not-not)

lemma NotXorToXorExp:
assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp 32 lx hx
assumes wf-stamp x
assumes stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp 32 ly hy
assumes wf-stamp y
shows exp[(~x)  $\oplus$  (~y)]  $\geq$  exp[x  $\oplus$  y]
apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p xa xb
proof -
obtain xa where xa: [m,p]  $\vdash$  x  $\mapsto$  xa
using p by blast
obtain xb where xb: [m,p]  $\vdash$  y  $\mapsto$  xb
using p by blast
then have a: val[(~xa)  $\oplus$  (~xb)] = val[xa  $\oplus$  xb]
by (metis assms valid-int wf-stamp-def xa xb NotXorToXorVal)
then show ?thesis
by (metis BinaryExpr bin-eval.simps(8) evalDet p(1,2,4) xa xb)
qed
done

optimization NotXorToXor: exp[(~x)  $\oplus$  (~y)]  $\longmapsto$  (x  $\oplus$  y)
when (stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp 32 lx hx  $\wedge$  wf-stamp x)  $\wedge$ 
(stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp 32 ly hy  $\wedge$  wf-stamp y)
using NotXorToXorExp by simp

end

— New optimisations - submitted, not added into Graal yet —

context stamp-mask
begin

```

```

lemma ExpIntBecomesIntValArbitrary:
  assumes stamp-expr  $x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \text{ xl } xh$ 
  assumes wf-stamp  $x$ 
  assumes valid-value  $v (\text{IntegerStamp } b \text{ xl } xh)$ 
  assumes  $[m,p] \vdash x \mapsto v$ 
  shows  $\exists xv. v = \text{IntVal } b \text{ xv}$ 
  using assms by (simp add: IRTreeEvalThms.valid-value-elims(3))

lemma OrGeneralization:
  assumes stamp-expr  $x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \text{ xl } xh$ 
  assumes stamp-expr  $y = \text{IntegerStamp } b \text{ yl } yh$ 
  assumes stamp-expr  $\exp[x \mid y] = \text{IntegerStamp } b \text{ el } eh$ 
  assumes wf-stamp  $x$ 
  assumes wf-stamp  $y$ 
  assumes wf-stamp  $\exp[x \mid y]$ 
  assumes (or ( $\downarrow x$ ) ( $\downarrow y$ )) = not 0
  shows  $\exp[x \mid y] \geq \exp[(\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \text{ (not 0)}))]$ 
  using assms apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p xvv yvv
  proof -
    obtain xv where  $xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ xv}$ 
      by (metis p(1,3,9) valid-int wf-stamp-def)
    obtain yv where  $yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ yv}$ 
      by (metis p(2,4,10) valid-int wf-stamp-def)
    obtain evv where  $evv: [m, p] \vdash \exp[x \mid y] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ evv}$ 
      by (metis BinaryExpr bin-eval.simps(7) unfold-binary p(5,9,10,11) valid-int
      wf-stamp-def
      assms(3))
    then have rhsWf: wf-value (new-int b (not 0))
      by (metis eval-bits-1-64 new-int.simps new-int-take-bits validDefIntConst
      wf-value-def)
      then have rhs: (new-int b (not 0)) = val[IntVal b xv | IntVal b yv]
        using assms word-ao-absorbs(1)
        by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) bit.de-Morgan-conj word-bw-comms(2) xv
        down-spec
          word-not-not yv bit.disj-conj-distrib intval-or.simps(1) new-int-bin.simps
          ucast-id
          or.right-neutral)
      then have notMaskEq: (new-int b (not 0)) = (new-int b (mask b))
        by auto
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis neg-one.elims neg-one-value p(9,10) rhsWf unfold-const evalDet xv
        yv rhs)
      qed
      done
    end

```

```

phase TacticSolving
  terminating size
begin

lemma constEvalIsConst:
  assumes wf-value n
  shows [m,p] ⊢ exp[(const (n))] ↪ n
  by (simp add: assms IRTreeEval.evaltree.ConstantExpr)

lemma ExpAddCommute:
  exp[x + y] ≥ exp[y + x]
  by (auto simp add: Values.intval-add-sym)

lemma AddNotVal:
  assumes n = IntVal bv v
  shows val[n + (¬n)] = new-int bv (not 0)
  by (auto simp: assms)

lemma AddNotExp:
  assumes stamp-expr n = IntegerStamp b l h
  assumes wf-stamp n
  shows exp[n + (¬n)] ≥ exp[(const (new-int b (not 0)))]
  apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p x xa
  proof -
    have xaDef: [m,p] ⊢ n ↪ xa
    by (simp add: p)
    then have xaDef2: [m,p] ⊢ n ↪ x
    by (simp add: p)
    then have xa = x
    using p by (simp add: evalDet)
    then obtain xv where xv: xa = IntVal b xv
    by (metis valid-int wf-stamp-def xaDef2 assms)
    have toVal: [m,p] ⊢ exp[n + (¬n)] ↪ val[xa + (¬xa)]
    by (metis UnaryExpr bin-eval.simps(1) evalDet p unary-eval.simps(3) unfold-binary xaDef)
    have wfInt: wf-value (new-int b (not 0))
    using validDefIntConst xaDef by (simp add: eval-bits-1-64 xv wf-value-def)
    have toValRHS: [m,p] ⊢ exp[(const (new-int b (not 0)))] ↪ new-int b (not 0)
    using wfInt by (simp add: constEvalIsConst)
    have isNeg1: val[xa + (¬xa)] = new-int b (not 0)
    by (simp add: xv)
    then show ?thesis
    using toValRHS by (simp add: ⟨(xa::Value) = (x::Value)⟩)
  qed
done

```

```

optimization AddNot:  $\exp[n + (\sim n)] \mapsto (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \text{ (not 0))))$   

  when ( $\text{stamp-expr } n = \text{IntegerStamp } b \text{ } l \text{ } h \wedge \text{wf-stamp } n$ )  

apply (simp add: Suc-lessI) using AddNotExp by force

optimization AddNot2:  $\exp[(\sim n) + n] \mapsto (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \text{ (not 0))))$   

  when ( $\text{stamp-expr } n = \text{IntegerStamp } b \text{ } l \text{ } h \wedge \text{wf-stamp } n$ )  

apply (simp add: Suc-lessI) using AddNot ExpAddCommute by simp

lemma TakeBitNotSelf:  

  ( $\text{take-bit } 32 \text{ (not } e) = e$ ) = False  

by (metis even-not-iff even-take-bit-eq zero-neq-numeral)

lemma ValNeverEqNotSelf:  

assumes  $e = \text{IntVal } 32 \text{ ev}$   

shows  $\text{val}[\text{intval>equals } (\neg e) \text{ } e] = \text{val}[\text{bool-to-val } \text{False}]$   

by (simp add: TakeBitNotSelf assms)

lemma ExpIntBecomesIntVal:  

assumes  $\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 32 \text{ } xl \text{ } xh$   

assumes  $\text{wf-stamp } x$   

assumes  $\text{valid-value } v \text{ } (\text{IntegerStamp } 32 \text{ } xl \text{ } xh)$   

assumes  $[m,p] \vdash x \mapsto v$   

shows  $\exists xv. v = \text{IntVal } 32 \text{ } xv$   

using assms by (simp add: IRTreeEvalThms.valid-value-elims(3))

lemma ExpNeverNotSelf:  

assumes  $\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 32 \text{ } xl \text{ } xh$   

assumes  $\text{wf-stamp } x$   

shows  $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } (\neg x) \text{ } x] \geq$   

   $\exp[(\text{const } (\text{bool-to-val } \text{False}))]$   

using assms apply auto  

subgoal premises p for m p xa xaa  

proof –  

obtain xa where xa:  $[m,p] \vdash x \mapsto xa$   

  using p(5) by auto  

then obtain xv where xv:  $xa = \text{IntVal } 32 \text{ } xv$   

  by (metis p(1,2) valid-int wf-stamp-def)  

then have lhsVal:  $[m,p] \vdash \exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } (\neg x) \text{ } x] \mapsto$   

   $\text{val}[\text{intval>equals } (\neg xa) \text{ } xa]$   

by (metis p(3,4,5,6) unary-eval.simps(3) evaltree.BinaryExpr bin-eval.simps(13))  

xa UnaryExpr  

  evalDet)  

have wfVal:  $\text{wf-value } (\text{IntVal } 32 \text{ } 0)$   

using wf-value-def apply rule  

by (metis IntVal0 intval-word.simps nat-le-linear new-int.simps numeral-le-iff  

  wf-value-def  

  semiring-norm(71,76) validDefIntConst verit-comp-simplify1(3) zero-less-numeral)

```

```

then have rhsVal: [m,p] ⊢ exp[(const (bool-to-val False))] ↪ val[bool-to-val
False]
  by auto
then have valEq: val[intval-equals ( $\neg$ xa) xa] = val[bool-to-val False]
  using ValNeverEqNotSelf by (simp add: xv)
then show ?thesis
  by (metis bool-to-val.simps(2) evalDet p(3,5) rhsVal xa)
qed
done

optimization NeverEqNotSelf: exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals ( $\neg$ x) x] ↪
  exp[(const (bool-to-val False))]
  when (stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp 32 xl xh  $\wedge$  wf-stamp x)
apply (simp add: Suc-lessI) using ExpNeverNotSelf by force

— New optimisations - not submitted / added into Graal yet —

lemma BinXorFallThrough:
shows bin[(x  $\oplus$  y) = x]  $\longleftrightarrow$  bin[y = 0]
by (metis xor.assoc xor.left-neutral xor-self-eq)

lemma valXorEqual:
assumes x = new-int 32 xv
assumes val[x  $\oplus$  x] ≠ UndefVal
shows val[x  $\oplus$  x] = val[new-int 32 0]
using assms by (cases x; auto)

lemma valXorAssoc:
assumes x = new-int b xv
assumes y = new-int b yv
assumes z = new-int b zv
assumes val[(x  $\oplus$  y)  $\oplus$  z] ≠ UndefVal
assumes val[x  $\oplus$  (y  $\oplus$  z)] ≠ UndefVal
shows val[(x  $\oplus$  y)  $\oplus$  z] = val[x  $\oplus$  (y  $\oplus$  z)]
by (simp add: xor.commute xor.left-commute assms)

lemma valNeutral:
assumes x = new-int b xv
assumes val[x  $\oplus$  (new-int b 0)] ≠ UndefVal
shows val[x  $\oplus$  (new-int b 0)] = val[x]
using assms by (auto; meson)

lemma ValXorFallThrough:
assumes x = new-int b xv
assumes y = new-int b yv
shows val[intval-equals (x  $\oplus$  y) x] = val[intval-equals y (new-int b 0)]
by (simp add: assms BinXorFallThrough)

lemma ValEqAssoc:
  val[intval-equals x y] = val[intval-equals y x]

```

```

apply (cases x; cases y; auto) by (metis (full-types) bool-to-val.simps)

lemma ExpEqAssoc:
  exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals x y] ≥ exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals y x]
  by (auto simp add: ValEqAssoc)

lemma ExpXorBinEqCommute:
  exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals (x ⊕ y) y] ≥ exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals
  (y ⊕ x) y]
  using exp-xor-commutative mono-binary by blast

lemma ExpXorFallThrough:
  assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b xl xh
  assumes stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp b yl yh
  assumes wf-stamp x
  assumes wf-stamp y
  shows exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals (x ⊕ y) x] ≥
    exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals y (const (new-int b 0))]
  using assms apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p xa xaa ya
  proof -
    obtain b xv where xa: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ new-int b xv
    using intval-equals.elims
    by (metis new-int.simps eval-unused-bits-zero p(1,3,5) wf-stamp-def valid-int)
    obtain yv where ya: [m,p] ⊢ y ↦ new-int b yv
    by (metis Value.inject(1) wf-stamp-def p(1,2,3,4,8) eval-unused-bits-zero xa
    new-int.simps
    valid-int)
    then have wfVal: wf-value (new-int b 0)
      by (metis eval-bits-1-64 new-int.simps new-int-take-bits validDefIntConst
      wf-value-def xa)
    then have eval: [m,p] ⊢ exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals y (const (new-int b
    0))] ↦
      val[intval-equals (xa ⊕ ya) xa]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) ValXorFallThrough constEvalIsConst bin-eval.simps(13)
    evalDet xa
    p(5,6,7,8) unfold-binary ya)
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet new-int.elims p(1,3,5,7) take-bit-of-0 valid-value.simps(1)
    wf-stamp-def xa)
  qed
  done

lemma ExpXorFallThrough2:
  assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b xl xh
  assumes stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp b yl yh
  assumes wf-stamp x
  assumes wf-stamp y
  shows exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals (x ⊕ y) y] ≥

```

```

 $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } x (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b 0))]$ 
by (meson assms dual-order.trans ExpXorBinEqCommute ExpXorFallThrough)

optimization XorFallThrough1:  $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } (x \oplus y) x] \longmapsto$ 
 $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } y (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b 0))]$ 
when ( $\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b xl xh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } x$ )  $\wedge$ 
 $(\text{stamp-expr } y = \text{IntegerStamp } b yl yh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } y)$ 
using ExpXorFallThrough by force

optimization XorFallThrough2:  $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } x (x \oplus y)] \longmapsto$ 
 $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } y (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b 0))]$ 
when ( $\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b xl xh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } x$ )  $\wedge$ 
 $(\text{stamp-expr } y = \text{IntegerStamp } b yl yh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } y)$ 
using ExpXorFallThrough ExpEqAssoc by force

optimization XorFallThrough3:  $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } (x \oplus y) y] \longmapsto$ 
 $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } x (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b 0))]$ 
when ( $\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b xl xh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } x$ )  $\wedge$ 
 $(\text{stamp-expr } y = \text{IntegerStamp } b yl yh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } y)$ 
using ExpXorFallThrough2 by force

optimization XorFallThrough4:  $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } y (x \oplus y)] \longmapsto$ 
 $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } x (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b 0))]$ 
when ( $\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b xl xh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } x$ )  $\wedge$ 
 $(\text{stamp-expr } y = \text{IntegerStamp } b yl yh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } y)$ 
using ExpXorFallThrough2 ExpEqAssoc by force

end

context stamp-mask
begin

```

```

lemma inEquivalence:
assumes [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ IntVal b yv
assumes [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ IntVal b xv
shows (and (↑x) yv) = (↑x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (or (↑x) yv) = yv
by (metis word-ao-absorbs(3) word-ao-absorbs(4))

lemma inEquivalence2:
assumes [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ IntVal b yv
assumes [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ IntVal b xv
shows (and (↑x) (↓y)) = (↑x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (or (↑x) (↓y)) = (↓y)
by (metis word-ao-absorbs(3) word-ao-absorbs(4))

```

```

lemma RemoveLHSOrMask:
  assumes (and ( $\uparrow x$ ) ( $\downarrow y$ )) = ( $\uparrow x$ )
  assumes (or ( $\uparrow x$ ) ( $\downarrow y$ )) = ( $\downarrow y$ )
  shows  $\exp[x \mid y] \geq \exp[y]$ 
  using assms apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p v
  proof -
    obtain b ev where  $\exp: [m, p] \vdash \exp[x \mid y] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ ev}$ 
    by (metis BinaryExpr bin-eval.simps(7) p(3,4,5) bin-eval-new-int new-int.simps)
    from exp obtain yv where  $yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ yv}$ 
      apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width) by force+
    from exp obtain xv where  $xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ xv}$ 
      apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width) by force+
    then have yv = (or xv yv)
      using assms yv xv apply auto
      by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) down-spec ucast-id up-spec word-ao-absorbs(1)
            word-or-not
              word-ao-equiv word-log-esimps(3) word-ao-dist word-ao-dist2)
    then have (IntVal b yv) = val[(IntVal b xv) | (IntVal b yv)]
      apply auto using eval-unused-bits-zero yv by presburger
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis p(3,4) evalDet xv yv)
  qed
  done

```

```

lemma RemoveRHSAndMask:
  assumes (and ( $\uparrow x$ ) ( $\downarrow y$ )) = ( $\uparrow x$ )
  assumes (or ( $\uparrow x$ ) ( $\downarrow y$ )) = ( $\downarrow y$ )
  shows  $\exp[x \& y] \geq \exp[x]$ 
  using assms apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p v
  proof -
    obtain b ev where  $\exp: [m, p] \vdash \exp[x \& y] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ ev}$ 
    by (metis BinaryExpr bin-eval.simps(6) p(3,4,5) new-int.simps bin-eval-new-int)
    from exp obtain yv where  $yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ yv}$ 
      apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width) by force+
    from exp obtain xv where  $xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ xv}$ 
      apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width) by force+
    then have IntVal b xv = val[(IntVal b xv) & (IntVal b yv)]
      apply auto
      by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) or.right-neutral not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
            p(1)
              bit.conj-cancel-right word-bw-comms(1) eval-unused-bits-zero yv word-bw-assocs(1)
              word-ao-absorbs(4) or-eq-not-not-and)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis p(3,4) yv xv evalDet)

```

```

qed
done

```

```

lemma ReturnZeroAndMask:
assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b xl xh
assumes stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp b yl yh
assumes stamp-expr exp[x & y] = IntegerStamp b el eh
assumes wf-stamp x
assumes wf-stamp y
assumes wf-stamp exp[x & y]
assumes (and (↑x) (↑y)) = 0
shows exp[x & y] ≥ exp[const (new-int b 0)]
using assms apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p v
proof -
  obtain yv where yv: [m, p] ⊢ yv : IntVal b yv
    by (metis valid-int wf-stamp-def assms(2,5) p(2,4,10) wf-stamp-def)
  obtain xv where xv: [m, p] ⊢ xv : IntVal b xv
    by (metis valid-int wf-stamp-def assms(1,4) p(3,9) wf-stamp-def)
  obtain ev where ev: [m, p] ⊢ ev : IntVal b ev
    by (metis BinaryExpr bin-eval.simps(6) p(5,9,10,11) assms(3) valid-int
      wf-stamp-def)
  then have wfVal: wf-value (new-int b 0)
    by (metis eval-bits-1-64 new-int.simps new-int-take-bits validDefIntConst
      wf-value-def)
  then have lhsEq: IntVal b ev = val[(IntVal b xv) & (IntVal b yv)]
    by (metis bin-eval.simps(6) yv xv evalDet exp unfold-binary)
  then have newIntEquiv: new-int b 0 = IntVal b ev
  apply auto by (smt (z3) p(6) eval-unused-bits-zero xv yv up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero)
  then have isZero: ev = 0
    by auto
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet lhsEq newIntEquiv p(9,10) unfold-const wfVal xv yv)
qed
done

end

phase TacticSolving
  terminating size
begin

```

```

lemma binXorIsEqual:
bin[((x ⊕ y) = (x ⊕ z))] ↔ bin[(y = z)]
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) BinXorFallThrough xor.left-commute xor-self-eq)

```

```

lemma binXorIsDeterministic:
  assumes  $y \neq z$ 
  shows  $\text{bin}[x \oplus y] \neq \text{bin}[x \oplus z]$ 
  by (auto simp add: binXorIsEqual assms)

lemma ValXorSelfIsZero:
  assumes  $x = \text{IntVal } b \ xv$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x \oplus x] = \text{IntVal } b \ 0$ 
  by (simp add: assms)

lemma ValXorSelfIsZero2:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ xv$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x \oplus x] = \text{IntVal } b \ 0$ 
  by (simp add: assms)

lemma ValXorIsAssociative:
  assumes  $x = \text{IntVal } b \ xv$ 
  assumes  $y = \text{IntVal } b \ yv$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[(x \oplus y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[(x \oplus y) \oplus y] = \text{val}[x \oplus (y \oplus y)]$ 
  by (auto simp add: word-bw-lcs(3) assms)

lemma ValXorIsAssociative2:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ xv$ 
  assumes  $y = \text{new-int } b \ yv$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[(x \oplus y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[(x \oplus y) \oplus y] = \text{val}[x \oplus (y \oplus y)]$ 
  using ValXorIsAssociative by (simp add: assms)

lemma XorZeroIsSelf64:
  assumes  $x = \text{IntVal } 64 \ xv$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[x \oplus (\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x \oplus (\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0)] = x$ 
  using assms apply (cases x; auto)
  subgoal
  proof -
    have take-bit (LENGTH(64)) xv = xv
    unfolding Word.take-bit-length-eq by simp
    then show ?thesis
    by auto
  qed
  done

lemma ValXorElimSelf64:
  assumes  $x = \text{IntVal } 64 \ xv$ 
  assumes  $y = \text{IntVal } 64 \ yv$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[x \oplus y] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[y \oplus y] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 

```

```

shows val[x ⊕ (y ⊕ y)] = x
proof -
  have removeRhs: val[x ⊕ (y ⊕ y)] = val[x ⊕ (IntVal 64 0)]
    by (simp add: assms(2))
  then have XorZeroIsSelf: val[x ⊕ (IntVal 64 0)] = x
    using XorZeroIsSelf64 by (simp add: assms(1))
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: removeRhs)
qed

lemma ValXorIsReverse64:
  assumes x = IntVal 64 xv
  assumes y = IntVal 64 yv
  assumes z = IntVal 64 zv
  assumes z = val[x ⊕ y]
  assumes val[x ⊕ y] ≠ UndefVal
  assumes val[z ⊕ y] ≠ UndefVal
  shows val[z ⊕ y] = x
  using ValXorIsAssociative ValXorElimSelf64 assms(1,2,4,5) by force

lemma valXorIsEqual-64:
  assumes x = IntVal 64 xv
  assumes val[x ⊕ y] ≠ UndefVal
  assumes val[x ⊕ z] ≠ UndefVal
  shows val[intval-equals (x ⊕ y) (x ⊕ z)] = val[intval-equals y z]
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)
  subgoal premises p for yv zv apply (cases (yv = zv); simp)
  subgoal premises p
  proof -
    have isFalse: bool-to-val (yv = zv) = bool-to-val False
      by (simp add: p)
    then have unfoldTakebityv: take-bit LENGTH(64) yv = yv
      using take-bit-length-eq by blast
    then have unfoldTakebitzv: take-bit LENGTH(64) zv = zv
      using take-bit-length-eq by blast
    then have unfoldTakebitxv: take-bit LENGTH(64) xv = xv
      using take-bit-length-eq by blast
    then have lhs: (xor (take-bit LENGTH(64) yv) (take-bit LENGTH(64) xv)) =
      xor (take-bit LENGTH(64) zv) (take-bit LENGTH(64) xv)) =
      (False)
      unfolding unfoldTakebityv unfoldTakebitzv unfoldTakebitxv
      by (simp add: binXorIsEqual word-bw-comm(3) p)
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: isFalse)
  qed
done
done

lemma ValXorIsDeterministic-64:

```

```

assumes x = IntVal 64 xv
assumes y = IntVal 64 yv
assumes z = IntVal 64 zv
assumes val[x ⊕ y] ≠ UndefVal
assumes val[x ⊕ z] ≠ UndefVal
assumes yv ≠ zv
shows val[x ⊕ y] ≠ val[x ⊕ z]
by (smt (verit, best) ValXorElimSelf64 ValXorIsAssociative ValXorSelfIsZero
Value.distinct(1)
assms Value.inject(1) val-xor-commute valXorIsEqual-64)

lemma ExpIntBecomesIntVal-64:
assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp 64 xl xh
assumes wf-stamp x
assumes valid-value v (IntegerStamp 64 xl xh)
assumes [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ v
shows ∃ xv. v = IntVal 64 xv
using assms by (simp add: IRTreeEvalThms.valid-value-elims(3))

lemma expXorIsEqual-64:
assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp 64 xl xh
assumes stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp 64 yl yh
assumes stamp-expr z = IntegerStamp 64 zl zh
assumes wf-stamp x
assumes wf-stamp y
assumes wf-stamp z
shows exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals (x ⊕ y) (x ⊕ z)] ≥
exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals y z]
using assms apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p x1 y1 x2 z1
proof –
obtain xVal where xVal: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xVal
using p(8) by simp
obtain yVal where yVal: [m,p] ⊢ y ↦ yVal
using p(9) by simp
obtain zVal where zVal: [m,p] ⊢ z ↦ zVal
using p(12) by simp
obtain xv where xv: xVal = IntVal 64 xv
by (metis p(1) p(4) wf-stamp-def xVal ExpIntBecomesIntVal-64)
then have rhs: [m,p] ⊢ exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals y z] ↦ val[intval-equals
yVal zVal]
by (metis BinaryExpr bin-eval.simps(13) evalDet p(7,8,9,10,11,12,13) valX-
orIsEqual-64 xVal
yVal zVal)
then show ?thesis
by (metis xv evalDet p(8,9,10,11,12,13) valXorIsEqual-64 xVal yVal zVal)
qed
done

```

optimization *XorIsEqual-64-1*: $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals} (x \oplus y) (x \oplus z)] \longmapsto$

$\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals} y z]$
 $\text{when } (\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ xl } xh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } x) \wedge$
 $(\text{stamp-expr } y = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ yl } yh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } y) \wedge$
 $(\text{stamp-expr } z = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ zl } zh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } z)$

using *expXorIsEqual-64* **by** force

optimization *XorIsEqual-64-2*: $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals} (x \oplus y) (z \oplus x)] \longmapsto$

$\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals} y z]$
 $\text{when } (\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ xl } xh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } x) \wedge$
 $(\text{stamp-expr } y = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ yl } yh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } y) \wedge$
 $(\text{stamp-expr } z = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ zl } zh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } z)$

by (*meson dual-order.trans mono-binary exp-xor-commutative expXorIsEqual-64*)

optimization *XorIsEqual-64-3*: $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals} (y \oplus x) (x \oplus z)] \longmapsto$

$\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals} y z]$
 $\text{when } (\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ xl } xh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } x) \wedge$
 $(\text{stamp-expr } y = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ yl } yh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } y) \wedge$
 $(\text{stamp-expr } z = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ zl } zh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } z)$

by (*meson dual-order.trans mono-binary exp-xor-commutative expXorIsEqual-64*)

optimization *XorIsEqual-64-4*: $\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals} (y \oplus x) (z \oplus x)] \longmapsto$

$\exp[\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals} y z]$
 $\text{when } (\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ xl } xh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } x) \wedge$
 $(\text{stamp-expr } y = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ yl } yh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } y) \wedge$
 $(\text{stamp-expr } z = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ zl } zh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } z)$

by (*meson dual-order.trans mono-binary exp-xor-commutative expXorIsEqual-64*)

lemma *unwrap-bool-to-val*:

shows $(\text{bool-to-val } a = \text{bool-to-val } b) = (a = b)$
apply auto **using** *bool-to-val.elims* **by** fastforce+

lemma *take-bit-size-eq*:

shows $\text{take-bit } 64 a = \text{take-bit LENGTH}(64) (a::64 \text{ word})$
by auto

lemma *xorZeroIsEq*:

$\text{bin}[(\text{xor } xv \text{ } yv) = 0] = \text{bin}[xv = yv]$
by (*metis binXorIsEqual xor-self-eq*)

```

lemma valXorEqZero-64:
  assumes val[ $(x \oplus y)$ ]  $\neq$  UndefVal
  assumes  $x = \text{IntVal } 64\ xv$ 
  assumes  $y = \text{IntVal } 64\ yv$ 
  shows val[intval-equals ( $x \oplus y$ ) ((IntVal 64 0))] = val[intval-equals ( $x$ ) ( $y$ )]
  using assms apply (cases  $x$ ; cases  $y$ ; auto)
  unfolding unwrap-bool-to-val take-bit-size-eq Word.take-bit-length-eq by (simp add: xorZeroIsEq)

lemma expXorEqZero-64:
  assumes stamp-expr  $x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64\ xl\ xh$ 
  assumes stamp-expr  $y = \text{IntegerStamp } 64\ yl\ yh$ 
  assumes wf-stamp  $x$ 
  assumes wf-stamp  $y$ 
  shows exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals ( $x \oplus y$ ) (const (IntVal 64 0))]  $\geq$ 
    exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals ( $x$ ) ( $y$ )]
  using assms apply auto
  subgoal premises  $p$  for  $m\ p\ x1\ y1$ 
  proof -
    obtain  $xv$  where  $xv: [m,p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
    using  $p$  by blast
    obtain  $yv$  where  $yv: [m,p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$ 
    using  $p$  by fast
    obtain  $xvv$  where  $xvv: xv = \text{IntVal } 64\ xvv$ 
    by (metis  $p(1,3)$  wf-stamp-def  $xv$  ExpIntBecomesIntVal-64)
    obtain  $yvv$  where  $yvv: yv = \text{IntVal } 64\ yvv$ 
    by (metis  $p(2,4)$  wf-stamp-def  $yv$  ExpIntBecomesIntVal-64)
    have rhs:  $[m,p] \vdash \text{exp}[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } (x) (y)] \mapsto \text{val[intval-equals } xv\ yv]$ 
    by (smt (z3) BinaryExpr ValEqAssoc ValXorSelfIsZero Value.distinct(1)
      bin-eval.simps(13) xvv
      evalDet p(5,6,7,8) valXorIsEqual-64 xv yv)
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet p(6,7,8) valXorEqZero-64 xv xvv yv yvv)
  qed
  done

optimization XorEqZero-64:  $\text{exp}[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } (x \oplus y) (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 0))]$   $\longmapsto$ 
  
$$\begin{aligned} & \text{exp}[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } (x) (y)] \\ & \text{when } (\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64\ xl\ xh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } x) \wedge \\ & \quad (\text{stamp-expr } y = \text{IntegerStamp } 64\ yl\ yh \wedge \text{wf-stamp } y) \end{aligned}$$

  using expXorEqZero-64 by fast

```

```

lemma xorNeg1IsEq:
  bin[(xor xv yv) = (not 0)] = bin[xv = not yv]

```

using xorZeroIsEq **by** fastforce

```

lemma valXorEqNeg1-64:
  assumes val[( $x \oplus y$ )]  $\neq$  UndefVal
  assumes  $x = \text{IntVal } 64\ xv$ 
  assumes  $y = \text{IntVal } 64\ yv$ 
  shows val[intval-equals ( $x \oplus y$ ) (IntVal 64 (not 0))] = val[intval-equals ( $x$ ) ( $\neg y$ )]
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  unfolding unwrap-bool-to-val take-bit-size-eq Word.take-bit-length-eq using xorNeg1IsEq
  by auto

lemma expXorEqNeg1-64:
  assumes stamp-expr  $x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64\ xl\ xh$ 
  assumes stamp-expr  $y = \text{IntegerStamp } 64\ yl\ yh$ 
  assumes wf-stamp  $x$ 
  assumes wf-stamp  $y$ 
  shows exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals ( $x \oplus y$ ) (const (IntVal 64 (not 0)))]  $\geq$ 
    exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals ( $x$ ) ( $\neg y$ )]
  using assms apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p x1 y1
  proof -
    obtain xv where xv: [ $m, p$ ]  $\vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
      using p by blast
    obtain yv where yv: [ $m, p$ ]  $\vdash y \mapsto yv$ 
      using p by fast
    obtain xxv where xxv:  $xv = \text{IntVal } 64\ xxv$ 
      by (metis p(1,3) wf-stamp-def xv ExpIntBecomesIntVal-64)
    obtain yyv where yyv:  $yv = \text{IntVal } 64\ yyv$ 
      by (metis p(2,4) wf-stamp-def yv ExpIntBecomesIntVal-64)
    obtain nyv where nyv: [ $m, p$ ]  $\vdash \exp[\neg y] \mapsto nyv$ 
      by (metis ValXorSelfIsZero2 Value.distinct(1) intval-not.simps(1) yv yyv
        intval-xor.simps(2)
          UnaryExpr unary-eval.simps(3))
    then have nyvEq: val[ $\neg yv$ ] = nyv
      using evalDet yv by fastforce
    obtain nyvv where nyvv:  $nyv = \text{IntVal } 64\ nyvv$ 
      using nyvEq intval-not.simps yyv by force
    have notUndef: val[intval-equals xv ( $\neg yv$ )]  $\neq$  UndefVal
      using bool-to-val.elims nyvEq nyvv xxv by auto
    have rhs: [ $m, p$ ]  $\vdash \exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals (x) (\neg y)] \mapsto \text{val[intval-equals}$ 
       $xv (\neg yv)]$ 
      by (metis BinaryExpr bin-eval.simps(13) notUndef nyv nyvEq xv)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis bit.compl-zero evalDet p(6,7,8) rhs valXorEqNeg1-64 xxv yyv xv yv)
  qed
  done

```

optimization XorEqNeg1-64: exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals ($x \oplus y$) (const

```

(IntVal 64 (not 0)))]  $\mapsto$ 
  exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals (x) ( $\neg$ y)]
  when (stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp 64 xl xh  $\wedge$  wf-stamp x)  $\wedge$ 
    (stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp 64 yl yh  $\wedge$  wf-stamp y)
using expXorEqNeg1-64 apply auto sorry

end

end
theory ProofStatus
imports
  AbsPhase
  AddPhase
  AndPhase
  ConditionalPhase
  MulPhase

  NegatePhase
  NewAnd
  NotPhase
  OrPhase
  ShiftPhase
  SignedDivPhase
  SignedRemPhase
  SubPhase
  TacticSolving
  XorPhase
begin

declare [[show-types=false]]
print-phases
print-phases!

print-methods

print-theorems

thm opt-add-left-negate-to-sub

export-phases <Full>

end

```